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Abstract

How some bacteria evade the immune system to cause persistent infection is unclear. Oral vaccination against bacterial pathogens could limit lethal infection but the immune pathways that generate protective immunity are poorly understood. Using streptomycin pretreatment and oral vaccination with live, attenuated *Salmonella* to establish persistent colonization of mice, we demonstrate that the lymphotoxin pathway is essential for the generation of protective immunity to *Salmonella* infection. Lymphotoxin beta receptor (LTβR) deficient mice have normal initial colonization of attenuated *Salmonella* in the gut but fail to achieve clearance of *Salmonella* from the feces, and die following challenge with virulent *Salmonella*. Using a gnotobiotic approach we show that even with the same input microbiota, LTβR signaling drives microbiota-mediated clearance of *Salmonella*. The role of LTβR in *Salmonella* protective responses is not limited to mucosal infection, as LTβR deficient mice vaccinated and challenged intraperitoneally also succumb to challenge. Antibody responses to *Salmonella* occurring in the spleen are extrafollicular and contribute to protection. To examine whether antibody responses were deficient in LTβR<sup>−/−</sup> mice, we measured serum concentrations of anti-*Salmonella* IgM and IgG. Although LTβR<sup>−/−</sup> mice have levels of serum anti-Salmonella IgM similar to LTβR sufficient controls, they fail to produce anti-*Salmonella* IgG, implying a role for lymphotoxin in class switching. Surprisingly, we find that lymphotoxin (LT) plays an essential role in *Salmonella* immunity independent of its role in secondary lymphoid tissue development; treatment of WT mice with LTβR-Ig is sufficient to reduce the formation of anti-*Salmonella*
antibody responses and increase persistence of Salmonella in the gut. Using mice conditionally deficient in LT beta (LTβ), we find that B cell (CD19Cre) derived lymphotoxin is essential for anti-Salmonella IgG responses. Finally, we show that multiple cellular sources of lymphotoxin, especially B cells and likely ILC3 (RorcCre), but not T cells (CD4Cre), contribute to protection against challenge with virulent Salmonella. Together these data demonstrate the essential role of the LT/LTβR signaling pathway in the generation of immunity to Salmonella infection.
Chapter 1: Introduction

Disease caused by Salmonella enterica

Salmonella enterica is a widespread and diverse pathogen of both humans and animals. Infection can result in responses ranging from self-limiting gastroenteritis to wide spread systemic infection depending on bacterial serovar and host immune health. Although there are more than 2000 known serovars, or subspecies, of Salmonella enterica, they can be broadly separated by the type of disease they cause; non-typhoidal strains cause gastrointestinal diarrheal disease while typhoidal strains disseminate systemically and cause typhoid fever.

Non typhoidal strains of Salmonella (NTS), such as Salmonella typhimurium and Salmonella enteriditis are the causative agent of diarrheal disease (Havelaar et al. 2015). Non-typhoidal strains make up the vast majority of Salmonella serovars and are considered infection “generalists”: they can infect a wide range of host species including mammals, reptiles and birds (Bäumler and Fang 2013). It is estimated that NTS causes 94 million infection per year and 115,000 deaths (“Salmonellosis (Nontyphoidal) - Chapter 3 - 2016 Yellow Book | Travelers’ Health | CDC” 2016). This number is likely an underestimate as few individuals submit to stool tests. Gastrointestinal disease manifests with bloating, cramping and diarrhea that is not bloody and fever that lasts for 4 to 7 days (“Salmonellosis (Nontyphoidal) - Chapter 3 - 2016 Yellow Book | Travelers’ Health | CDC” 2016).

Recently, non typhoidal strains of Salmonella have been developing increasing antibiotic resistance. In sub-Saharan Africa between 50 to 70% of non typhoidal strains are reported to have multi drug resistance (Kariuki et al. 2015). This figure is especially
alarming considering that invasive non typhoidal *Salmonella* is on the rise in immunocompromised individuals, especially children and adults with AIDS. During invasive NTS (iNTS), *Salmonella* is no longer restricted to the GI tract and spreads into systemic tissue, causing fever, anemia, pneumonia, splenomegaly, and hepatomegaly. In iNTS, diarrhea may be present but is not a prominent feature of disease (Feasey et al. 2012). The estimated global burden of iNTS is 3.4 million, with 2/3 of the burden being carried by children. Shockingly, mortality rates due iNTS are 10-30% (Kariuki et al. 2015; Feasey et al. 2012).

These striking figures highlight the importance of understanding *Salmonella* disease susceptibility and progression. HIV status is the most important determinant of susceptibility to iNTS (Feasey et al. 2012). Immunodeficiencies caused by genetic mutation can also predispose certain individuals to developing iNTS versus gastrointestinal disease (Gilchrist, MacLennan, and Hill 2015). Mendelian susceptibility to mycobacterial disease (MSMD) mutations are highly associated with increased susceptibility to iNTS. MSMD mutations all effect portions of the IL12/IFNγ response including IFNγR, STAT1, IL12, IL12R, IRF8, TYK2, and NEMO. Other important factors that predispose to iNTS include TH17 recruitment of neutrophils, and neutrophil oxidative burst capacity (Gilchrist, MacLennan, and Hill 2015). These findings also highlight the importance of developing a vaccine to non typhoidal strains. Currently there are no FDA approved vaccines against non typhoidal *Salmonella* (“Salmonellosis (Nontyphoidal) - Chapter 3 - 2016 Yellow Book | Travelers’ Health | CDC” 2016).

Typhoidal strains of *Salmonella* such as *Salmonella typhi* (S. typhi) are the causative agents of typhoid fever. This disease is characterized by long term fever,
general malaise, abdominal pain and diarrhea, with symptoms lasting 6 to 30 days ("Typhoid & Paratyphoid Fever - Chapter 3 - 2016 Yellow Book | Travelers’ Health | CDC" 2016). Each year S. typhi is responsible for 21 million infections and 200,000 deaths ("Typhoid & Paratyphoid Fever - Chapter 3 - 2016 Yellow Book | Travelers’ Health | CDC" 2016). S. typhi is estimated to be at least 10,000 years old and as many as 50,000 to 71,000 years old (Roumagnac et al. 2006; Lan, Reeves, and Octavia 2009) splitting off from its generalist gastrointestinal Salmonella relatives to become a human specialist.

Because S. typhi infection is restricted to humans, human to human transmission is the only infection route. With the rise of modern sanitation S. typhi infections have been dramatically reduced in the developed world. In the developing world, especially Africa and India, infections are common (Kariuki et al. 2015). Although two FDA approved vaccines against typhoid fever are currently available, current vaccination strategies have not proven highly effective and are especially underperforming in endemic regions where sanitation is poor. Neither the injectable typhoidal Vi polysaccharide (ViPS, trade name Typhim Vi®) or live attenuated oral (Ty21a strain, trade name Vivotif®) vaccines are recommended for children under 2 years of age. Both vaccines require multiple administrations and have short terms of protection (2-3 years for ViPS and 5-7 years for Ty21a) and efficacy rates have varied widely ranging from 33-77% (Date et al. 2015). Ongoing development of vaccines to S. typhi is crucial, as are continued improvements to water sanitation that limit pathogen spread.
Asymptomatic carriage as a disease reservoir of Salmonella

Understanding disease reservoirs is important in limiting spread of pathogens. In typhoidal Salmonella one important disease reservoir consists of previously infected individuals who were never, or are no longer, symptomatic but still carry and shed bacteria. These asymptomatic long term carriers can act as disease reservoirs, propagating illness in an otherwise healthy area. This case is best demonstrated in the unfortunate story of Mary Malone, more commonly referred to as Typhoid Mary.

The bacterial nature of typhoid was first suspected in 1873 when William Bund found infection to be transmitted via the fecal-oral route. In 1880 Karl Joseph Eberth visualized the bacteria within the Peyer’s patches (PP) and spleens of typhoid patients. By 1884 the pathogen was grown in culture by Georg Gaffky and in 1885 after isolation of a variant causing “hog typhoid” it was formally named by Theobald Smith, a pathologist working in the laboratory of Daniel Elmer Salmon (Kinney 2009). In 1906 when a wealthy family in New York’s Oyster Island was stricken with disease, sanitary engineer and Ph.D. George Soper knew that in order to determine why six of the 11 members of the household were ill, he needed to find the source of the contaminating bacteria (Soper 1907). Soper was intrigued that at the time of the first case of Typhoid in the household, no other cases of the disease were reported in the Oyster Bay area and no other cases followed. Soper tracked the food and water sources into the household and systematically eliminated each as a possible source of bacteria. With careful epidemiology Soper narrowed the timeline of the initial infection. By tracking the movements of the family members he finally centered his attention on a cook who had been hired shortly before the household fell ill, and left the family three weeks after the
outbreak. While common convention at the time held that the cook could not have been a source of disease because she herself had not been ill, Soper proceeded to track down this woman, whose name was Mary Malone.

Mary Malone was deeply offended by Soper’s suggestion that she may have spread disease, as she herself was perfectly healthy. She violently refused Soper’s suggestion that she donate fecal, blood and urine samples for analysis. Soper instead took an epidemiological approach and carefully tracked Malone’s previous employment. He quickly discovered that where Mary Malone went, Typhoid followed. Soper convinced the New York department of health to take Malone into custody. Subsequent stool analysis revealed that Malone was shedding high bacterial titers of *Salmonella* on a regular basis, despite being in pristine health. She was an asymptomatic carrier (Soper 1907).

Malone’s condition became a life long ordeal resulting in two forced quarantines, the last until the end of her life. As a single chronic carrier Typhoid Mary was responsible for the known infection of 51 individuals resulting in 3 deaths, although this number is likely a gross underestimate. Typhoid Mary’s predicament may be the most famous but it is by far rare. An estimated 2-5% of *S. Typhi* infected patients go on to become long term carriers (Gunn et al. 2014) and it is estimated that 20% of infected individuals are super shedders, contributing to 80% of the transmission of disease (Woolhouse et al. 1997). Chronic carriers are often difficult to identify as at least 25% of carriers never report any clinical disease (Gonzalez-Escobedo, Marshall, and Gunn 2011). Understanding the biological basis of why certain individuals go on to become
long term carriers or super shedders is therefore of utmost public health importance. Unfortunately, little is known about the host components that mediate persistence.

In humans, chronic carriage of disease has been strongly associated with *Salmonella* infection of the biliary tract and the presence of gallstones, and as many as 90% of chronic carriers develop gall stones (Schiøler et al. 1983; Gunn et al. 2014). *Salmonella* is capable of infecting the gall bladder epithelium in a mouse model of infection (Menendez et al. 2009). Gallstones, brought on by diet, predispose mice to gallbladder infection by *Salmonella* (Crawford et al. 2010) and this unique niche seems to protect *Salmonella* against antibiotic elimination (Gunn et al. 2014). The gallbladder is not the only niche for persistent *Salmonella* infection as removal of the gallbladder from long term carriers does not always cure disease (Ristori et al. 1982). The biliary tree, mesenteric lymph nodes (LNs) and the liver have also been found to be bacterial reservoirs. One possible mechanism for bacterial persistence is *Salmonella*’s ability to remain dormant within infected cells (Núñez-Hernández et al. 2014). Slow growing bacteria within cecal lymph node CD103+ dendritic cells (DCs) are sufficient to allow relapse after antibiotic treatment (Kaiser et al. 2014). Additional work into understanding the host pathways responsible for long term carriage of *Salmonella* continues.

*Mouse models of Salmonella infection and disease*

After ingestion of contaminated food and water *Salmonella* travel through the gastrointestinal (GI) track, surviving stomach acidity to gain access to the small intestine. The generation of typhoidal disease requires that *Salmonella* gains access into host systemic tissue. *Salmonella* has two main routes of entry into the host, the first
is via M cells, specialized epithelial cells in the follicle associated epithelium on Peyer’s patches (PP) in the small intestine, and the second via infection of non-phagocytic enterocytes. A third mechanism of invasion, via uptake by CX3CR1⁺ CD11c⁺ cells has also been described (Kaiser et al. 2012; Broz, Ohlson, and Monack 2012). Infection of mice with *Salmonella typhimurium* revealed that M cells are preferentially infected by *Salmonella* early following infection compared to other epithelial cells (Clark et al. 1994; Jones, Ghori, and Falkow 1994). The ability of *Salmonella* to form long polar fimbria, as coded for by the *lpf* operon is critical in the ability to attach to PP but not to non-absorptive enterocytes (Bäumler, Tsolis, and Heffron 1996). Active infection requires injection of effector molecules into host cells with a type 3 secretion system (T3SS) called Spi-1 (Hansen-Wester and Hensel 2001). Although Spi-1 promotes invasion via M cells (Clark, Hirst, and Jepson 1998), it is not absolutely essential for entry (Martinez-Argudo and Jepson 2008). Spi-1 is however essential for infection of non-phagocytic epithelial cells (Jepson et al. 1995). Using Spi-1, *Salmonella* injects a complex array of effector molecules into the cell that mediate invasion and trigger host inflammation and immune responses (LaRock, Chaudhary, and Miller 2015). Following infection bacteria translocated through the M cell to gain access to the DCs and macrophages located in the pocket below.

Being a facultative intracellular pathogen, once *Salmonella* has gained access into a host cell, such as a macrophage, it induces changes to the actin cytoskeleton to form *Salmonella*-containing vacuoles (SCVs). Using another T3SS, Spi-2, *Salmonella* manipulate host cell biology to maintain and alter the SCV to allow for replication (Hansen-Wester and Hensel 2001; Hapfelmeier et al. 2005; LaRock, Chaudhary, and
Miller 2015). The pathogen uses Spi-2 effectors to move the vacuole towards the cell membrane releasing bacteria into the extracellular space which results in the infection of new cells. In some cells, Salmonella does not replicate within the SCV but is in a dormant, but persistent state. These cells act as a protective niche for the bacteria (Kaiser et al. 2014). The ability of Salmonella to replicate and survive intracellularly protects it from both the innate and adaptive immune system. Antibodies and neutrophils can only kill Salmonella in its extracellular phase (Cheminay, Chakravortty, and Hensel 2004). Although immune cell rich PP are considered preferential sites for Salmonella entry, infection of enterocytes is possible. Once Salmonella has gained access to the lamina propria or PP and infected DCs and macrophages, these cells can spread the bacteria into further systemic tissues, such as the mesenteric lymph nodes (MLN) or spleen, as they migrate into these organs.

Many of the details of this invasion mechanism have been elucidated using both in vitro cell culture models and in vivo models of infection. As addressed above S. typhi is a human specialist and therefore not a natural pathogen of rodents. Therefore, most rodent models of Salmonella infection use S. typhimurium or S. enteriditis strains. Unlike in humans, oral infection of mice with S. typhimurium results in systemic infection and does not cause gastrointestinal disease in most animals. While this model is sufficient to study systemic immune responses to typhoidal disease, it is not useful for the dissection of non typhoidal gut inflammation. Furthermore, most common strains of mice, especially those that are most popular in immunological research such as BALB/c and C57BL/6 have a mutated version of the S1c11a gene coding for the Nramp1 protein (Stecher et al. 2006; Mastroeni 2002). The Nramp1 protein is targeted to the SCV in
infection where it functions to restrict iron transport thereby limiting pathogen replication (Wessling-Resnick 2015). Therefore animals on the C57BL/6 and BALB/c background are highly susceptible to infection with *Salmonella*, succumbing to disease within one to two weeks (Mittrücker and Kaufmann 2000). Two experimental concerns must therefore be addressed in order to use mice as models for *Salmonella* infection. The first is how to study immune responses beyond the innate phase of infection. The second, how to study non typhoidal disease. To address these concerns, several strategies have been developed. The use of common strains such as C57BL/6 infected with fully virulent *Salmonella* proved to be a highly effective tool to study infection initiation and innate immune responses (McSorley 2014). To study immune responses and bacterial behavior at later time points experimentalists can choose to study fully virulent *Salmonella* in a strain with intact Nramp1 such as the 129x1/SvJ strain (Stecher et al. 2006; Mastroeni 2002). From a microbiological perspective this strategy allows many mutants of *Salmonella* to be studied and the changes in immune response measured. The benefit is that the host has an intact innate response and the pathogen is fully virulent. Since *Salmonella* interacts with the host via virulence factors this can lead to an understanding that is more physiologically relevant. Unfortunately, the SvJ strain is limited in its potential to fully understand immunological responses due to a lack of sufficient genetic knockout mice on this background. Another approach is to use attenuated *Salmonella* mutants such as those that have limited intracellular replication in susceptible strains of mice. Use of attenuated strains of *Salmonella* that have limited intracellular replication but retain virulence factors responsible for initiation of infection (such as the *aroA* or *aroD* mutants) cause self-limiting disease without killing the host.
(Barthel et al. 2003; Hapfelmeier et al. 2005). These strains therefore mimic many components of human disease and are amenable to the study of resolution of primary infection and generation of immunological memory. One caveat is that intracellular replication may be an important component for initiation of the immune responses. By lacking the Nramp1 gene we must also take into account that the C57BL/6 strain has impaired innate immunity and therefore other compensatory mechanisms that may not exist in Nramp1 sufficient strains. Therefore, by using attenuated mutants in susceptible strains, or virulent Salmonella in Nramp1 sufficient backgrounds, late phases of host and bacterial interactions can be studied. Indeed, these strategies have proven very useful in understanding the requirements for bacterial clearance, and development of immunological memory.

Another experimental consideration in using mouse models of Salmonella disease in mice is that even though S. typhimurium is a human non typhoidal strain, it causes typhoidal disease in mice without gastrointestinal symptoms. An important observation is that in both the resistant 129x1/SvJ strain and susceptible strains, infection with the same dose of inoculum will result in variable levels of colonization in the gut. While all resistant 129x1/SvJ mice become infected systemically a small percentage of animals will also become colonized with Salmonella in the GI tract, develop colitis and become super shedders (Gopinath et al. 2013). Similarly, 5% of susceptible mice become colonized with Salmonella in the gut lumen and develop gastrointestinal inflammation (Stecher et al. 2010).

One feature that distinguishes mice that naturally become colonized from those that do not is microbiota composition. Infection of a variety of strains led to the
observation that a positive correlation exists between *E. coli* abundance and the ability of *Salmonella* to colonize the gut (Stecher et al. 2010). Indeed, a complex microbiota is required to confer resistance to *Salmonella*. Mice that are germ free or those colonized with a simplified microbiota (altered Schaedler’s flora) all become colonized with *Salmonella* in the gut and develop robust colonic and cecal inflammation (Endt et al. 2010; Stecher et al. 2005).

The ability of the microbiota to directly compete with a pathogen for space or nutrients, thereby reducing susceptibility of the host to pathogen infection is called colonization resistance (Yurist-Doutsch et al. 2014). One well-developed strategy to turn *S. typhimurium* into a gastrointestinal disease is to reduce colonization resistance by pretreating animals with streptomycin. Streptomycin is an aminoglycoside antibiotic that binds to the 30S ribosomal subunit causing faulty protein production. This class of antibiotics are most effective against most gram-negative aerobes and facultive anaerobic baccili but have poor efficacy against anaerobes and gram-positive bacteria (“Aminoglycosides” 2016). Salmonella carry two separate genetic loci, *strA* and *strB* that mediate resistance to streptomycin (Yamada and Davies 1971). In both susceptible stains and resistant strains of mice, pretreatment of animals with streptomycin prior to oral administration of *Salmonella* results in a reduction of colonization resistance by the microbiota and allows for colonization of the pathogen in the gut (Barthel et al. 2003; Que and Hentges 1985; Stecher et al. 2006). Single dose treatment with streptomycin causes a significant reduction in the order Bacteroidales and an expansion of Deferrribacteria and Proteobacteria phyla (Stecher et al. 2007). Once *Salmonella* is introduced, within 4 days 90% of the of the cecal microbiota consists of Proteobacteria,
of which 70% is *Salmonella*. Other commensal Proteobacteria such as *E. coli*, which were low abundance species prior to antibiotic treatment and infection, now become significantly represented (Stecher et al. 2007). Since *E. coli* abundance correlates with *Salmonella* gut colonization, one theory suggests that *Salmonella* is best suited to complete with members of the gut microbiota that are its closest relatives (Stecher et al. 2010).

Although a single high dose (20mg) of streptomycin is the most widely used and well defined antibiotic to reduce colonization resistance and induce *Salmonella* gut coloni-
zation, other antibiotics such as vancomycin and metronidazole, even at low doses, can also be utilized with similar effects (Kaiser et al. 2012). A direct comparison between streptomycin and vancomycin shows that while each antibiotic perturbs the microbiota differently (low dose streptomycin led to a reduction of Firmicutes while vancomycin treatment greatly expanded Firmicutes and γ-Proteobacteria) either was capable of reducing colonization resistance and allowing for *Salmonella* colonization and gut inflammation (Sekirov et al. 2008). Because low dose antibiotic treatment did not alter overall bacterial abundance, these results suggest that a disruption of microbiota diversity, rather than abundance, is sufficient reduce colonization resistance (Yurist-Doutsch et al. 2014).

Streptomycin pretreatment of C57BL/6, 129Sv/Ev, and DBA/2 mice results in indistinguishable levels of colonic and cecal inflammation. Mice from the 129Sv/Ev strain go on to develop persistent infection including of the gall duct epithelium, mirroring chronic infection in humans (Stecher et al. 2006). On susceptible backgrounds, infection with a virulent strain results in death, but use of intracellular
replication attenuated *Salmonella* results in colonization that is self-limiting and cleared within 4 - 9 weeks in most animals (Endt et al. 2010).

Triggering of inflammation is especially important in allowing *Salmonella* colonization of the gut. Effective competition of *Salmonella* with the microbiota is essential to establishing colonization in the gut and this competition is achieved by induction of inflammation by the pathogen. Avirulent *Salmonella* deficient in type III effector proteins or Spi-1 are unable to trigger gut inflammation or sustain colonization in the gut, despite pretreatment with streptomycin (Stecher et al. 2007; Barthel et al. 2003). In animals in which another trigger of inflammation exists, such as in IL10 deficiency or ongoing VILLIN-HA T cell-transfer mediated colitis, no streptomycin in required to facilitate *Salmonella* colonization even with avirulent *Salmonella* (Stecher et al. 2007). Therefore, triggering of inflammation is a key step in initiation of colonization in the gut by limiting competition from the microbiota.

*Salmonella* can trigger inflammation via Spi-1 or Myd88/Spi-2 dependent mechanisms (Kaiser et al. 2012). Using the T3SS Spi-1 *Salmonella* injects effector molecules, such as the SopA and SopE, into a cell (LaRock, Chaudhary, and Miller 2015; Hapfelmeier et al. 2004). SopE is a guanine-nucleotide exchange factor that can activate the host Rho GTPases Rac1 and Cdc42 (Hardt et al. 1998). Activation of these molecules leads to actin remodeling and caspase-1 activation through a yet unknown pathway (Müller et al. 2009). Activated caspase-1 cleaves pro-IL1β and proIL18, and these cytokines are key mediators of inflammation. Mice that are deficient in caspase 1 do not recruit macrophages, dendritic cells, or T cells to the mucosa following *Salmonella* infection (Müller et al. 2009). *Salmonella* can also trigger inflammation in a
Myd88 dependent, Spi-2 dependent pathway (Hapfelmeier et al. 2005). Recognition of Salmonella by TLR2 and TLR4 and leads to the upregulation of bacterial Spi-2 and is required for intracellular bacterial growth (Arpaia et al. 2011).

Salmonella uses many mechanisms to co-opt pathways of commensal metabolism as well as the host inflammatory response to compete with the gut microbiota and facilitate colonization. During early invasion, Salmonella consumption of a microbiota metabolite H₂, enhances Salmonella colonization (Maier et al. 2013). Molecular hydrogen, H₂, is produced by primary fermenters, including Bacteroides and Clostridia, in the gut (Fischbach and Sonnenburg 2011; Dabrock, Bahl, and Gottschalk 1992; Macy and Probst 1979). This molecule can act as an electron sink in the anaerobic environment of the gut and is used as such by non-primary fermenters including acetogens, methanogens, and sulfate-reducing bacteria (Fischbach and Sonnenburg 2011). Salmonella with mutations in the hyb operon, that codes for the hydrogenase that utilizes H₂, are impaired in their ability to compete with wildtype Salmonella for colonization in the gut. In germ free animals, in which there is no source of H₂, WT Salmonella loses its competitive colonization advantage over hyb mutant Salmonella (Maier et al. 2013).

Active triggering of inflammation also works to the advantage of Salmonella. Once the host senses infection it seeks to limit pathogen replication by limiting iron availability. The host produces lipocalin-2, a protein that limits the growth of pathogens such as E. coli by sequestering iron (Flo et al. 2004; Berger et al. 2006). Salmonella can bypass this protective mechanism because it produces a variant of a common bacterial iron scavenging system that is not bound by lipocalin-2 (Raffatellu et al. 2009).
Therefore, while lipocallin-2 limits the growth of commensals by limiting iron availability, \textit{Salmonella} can replicate freely.

\textit{Salmonella} also outcompetes commensals via its use of sugar substrates, including mucins, during inflammation (Raffatellu et al. 2009). Host immune cells sense infection and transmigrate into the lumen to aid in anti-bacterial inflammatory responses. One mechanism by which these cells seek to limit pathogen growth is by producing reactive oxygen species that react with luminal thiosulfate to produce tetrathionate (Winter et al. 2010). \textit{Salmonella} encode the \textit{ttr} operon which allows for tetrathionate to be used as a terminal electron acceptor in anaerobic respiration (Winter et al. 2010). In the presence of a functional \textit{ttr} Salmonella undergo anaerobic respiration utilizing fermentation end products that would otherwise be unavailable for further metabolism to commensals (Winter and Bäumler 2011).

Infection with \textit{Salmonella} also triggers the IL22/IL23 pathway (Godinez et al. 2009). This pathway leads to the generation of anti-microbial peptides such as RegIIIβ and RegIIIγ that are essential to limiting \textit{Citrobacter} infection (Zheng et al. 2008). RegIIIγ preferentially targets gram positive bacteria while RegIIIβ inhibits growth of both gram positive and gram negative microbes (Stelter et al. 2011; Cash et al. 2006). Infection with \textit{Salmonella} results in the upregulation of RegIIIβ in the cecum (Stelter et al. 2011), but anti-microbial peptides such as RegIIIβ and RegIIIγ do not kill \textit{Salmonella} in vitro (Cash et al. 2006; Stelter et al. 2011). One mechanism by which \textit{Salmonella} protects itself from RegIIIβ killing is through O-antigen mediated modification to LPS that limit RegIIIβ access to its target peptidoglycan; O-antigen mutants of \textit{Salmonella} have increased susceptibility to RegIIIβ killing \textit{in vitro} (Stelter et al. 2011). The activation
of the IL22/IL23 pathway and subsequent upregulation of RegIIIβ by *Salmonella* does have an impact on commensals as administration of recombinant RegIIIβ to specific pathogen free (SPF) mice increased *Salmonella*’s ability to initiate colonization of the gut (Stelter et al. 2011).

Using these mechanisms *Salmonella* is highly effective at triggering and using host inflammatory responses to gain a foothold and colonize the colon and cecum of mice. Less is known about how *Salmonella* is ultimately cleared from the gut, as is the case in the majority of infected mice and humans. Clearance of *Salmonella* from the gut requires a complex microbiota; germ free animals or those colonized with a simplified microbiota are not capable of clearing the pathogen from the gut (Endt et al. 2010; Stecher et al. 2005). Addition of a complete microbiota to *Salmonella* monocolonized animals is sufficient to drive *Salmonella* clearance (Endt et al. 2010). Which specific members of the gut microbiota are required to mediate clearance is unknown. Another outstanding question is if, and how, the host manipulates this clearance. Production of IgA after *Salmonella* infection is robust, but this molecule is not required to drive clearance from the gut (Endt et al. 2010). IgA deficient and heterozygous littermates have equal rates of clearance. B cells and T cells are independently also not required to mediate clearance from the gut (Endt et al. 2010). What, if any, host components contribute to microbiota-driven clearance of *Salmonella* remains an open question.

**Anti-Salmonella memory responses**

Understanding the requirements for the formation of anti-*Salmonella* memory responses could aid in vaccine development. Currently, vaccines against *Salmonella*
*typhi*, including oral vaccines, have limited efficacy, especially in endemic regions (MacLennan, Martin, and Micoli 2014). Both T and B cell responses contribute to protection against *Salmonella* (Mittrucker and Kaufmann 2000; Bao et al. 2000). CD4⁺ T cell responses, especially production of IFNγ, are an important determinant in *Salmonella* memory protective responses. Nude mice and mice lacking αβ T or CD4⁺ T cells are highly susceptible to *Salmonella* infection, succumbing even when inoculated with attenuated strains (Hess et al. 1996; Sinha et al. 1997). TCRδ deficient mice tolerate attenuated *Salmonella* as well as wild type mice (Hess et al. 1996). IFNγ is also essential: IFNγR⁻/⁻ succumb to attenuated *Salmonella* (Hess et al. 1996) and IFNγ deficient mice, or those in which IFNγ or TNFα is blocked during primary infection with *Salmonella*, have higher bacterial burdens in systemic organs (Nauciel and Espinasse-Maes 1992; Bao et al. 2000). Predictably, mice deficient in CD4⁺ Th1 cells also share a similar phenotype; *Tbet* deficient mice succumb to attenuated *Salmonella* (Ravindran et al. 2005). CD4 T cells produced IFNγ seems to be most important in early responses to primary infection and early memory responses (Pie et al. 1997). IFNγ deficiency actually leads to higher titers of anti-*Salmonella* IgA and IgG (Bao et al. 2000). The role of CD8 T cells is less well defined, but it seems that they contribute modestly to the late phase of primary infection (Lee, Dunmire, and McSorley 2012). Th17 cells may play a role in *Salmonella* responses at the mucosa, as IL17α deficient mice have increased bacterial dissemination (Schulz et al. 2008).

The role of T cell responses to *Salmonella* has been largely studied in the absence of streptomycin pretreatment where colonization of the gut is not a long term event. Therefore, the role of T cells in the mucosa is less well defined. For example,
gamma delta (γδ) T cells or IL17 cells, which are enriched in the gut associated lymphoid tissue (GALT) do not appear to play a major role in *Salmonella* infection (Schulz et al. 2008). These cells do however mobilize within hours of sensing *Salmonella* infection in the gut (Edelblum et al. 2015).

B cells have been found to contribute in both antibody dependent and independent ways. B cell antibody-dependent contributions limit pathogen entry into host cells while B cell antibody independent mechanisms, including as APCs or cytokine producers, contribute to robust memory T cell formation (McSorley and Jenkins 2000; Nanton et al. 2012; Barr et al. 2010; Mastroeni, Villarreal-Ramos, and Hormaeche 1993). IgG, predominantly the IgG2c isotype in the C57BL/6 strain, is generated against *Salmonella* outer membrane proteins as early as three days post infection. Transfer of anti-*Salmonella* IgG2c, and to some extent IgM, impedes the ability of *Salmonella* to infect macrophages (Cunningham et al. 2007). Similarly, IgA formed against the O antigen limits bacterial entry into epithelial cells in the gut, and prevents secondary inflammation (Endt et al. 2010). Antibody, including IgA, is dispensable for clearance of *Salmonella* in the gut tissue (Endt et al. 2010). Under most circumstances, transfer of immune serum is also insufficient to provide protection of naïve mice against challenge (Mastroeni et al. 2000; Mastroeni, Villarreal-Ramos, and Hormaeche 1993). However co-transfer of both T cells and immune serum has some protective effect in naïve animals (Mastroeni, Villarreal-Ramos, and Hormaeche 1993).

Recently it has been demonstrated that high affinity antibody production during *Salmonella* infection occurs largely outside of the germinal center (GC) (Cunningham et al. 2007; Di Niro et al. 2015). Germinal center like structures do not appear in WT mice
vaccinated with *aroA* attenuated *Salmonella* until one month following infection, and even then, these structures are small and immature; they express low levels of PNA positive cells and are found at atypical sites between the T and B cells zones. Class switching and affinity maturation occur at the same rate in extrafollicular locations and these germinal center like structures (Di Niro et al. 2015). Whether this is a pathogen strategy to limit host responses, or a host driven adaptive response is not yet known, but earlier formation of GC-like structures can be induced if bacterial burdens are lowered by treatment with antibiotics (Cunningham et al. 2007). Both of the studies published on the subject use the *aroA* mutant strain of *Salmonella*, that is deficient in intracellular replication (Cunningham et al. 2007; Di Niro et al. 2015). It will be important to determine in Nramp1 sufficient animals if infection with fully virulent *Salmonella* also delays GC formation, or if this phenotype is attributable to the lack of intracellular replication of the *aroA* mutants. Host contributions to extra-follicular antibody responses, especially during infection, are poorly understood.

Antibody independent functions of B cells have also been shown to play an essential role in anti-*Salmonella* memory, but not primary, responses. B cell deficient mice tolerate infection with attenuated *Salmonella* and achieve systemic clearance of attenuated *Salmonella* with equal kinetics to WT mice (McSorley and Jenkins 2000; Mastroeni et al. 2000). Similarly, B cell deficient mice clear attenuated *Salmonella* from the gut in the streptomycin pretreatment model (Endt et al. 2010). B cells may be more critical for the memory response. B cell deficient mice previously infected with attenuated *Salmonella* have higher loads of bacteria in the spleen and liver when later challenged with a virulent strain (McSorley and Jenkins 2000; Mastroeni et al. 2000)
and nearly 100% of mice succumb to infection, although with slower kinetics than naïve animals (Nanton et al. 2012). This role of B cells seems to be largely antibody independent. When the same experiment is performed in animals that retain B cells but cannot produce antibody, the majority of mice survive challenge (Nanton et al. 2012).

B cell deficiency results in a reduction in the number of IFNγ+ CD4+ T cells following attenuated *Salmonella* infection (McSorley and Jenkins 2000). Activation of MyD88 signaling in B cells drives the induction of highly responsive IFNγ producing T cells early after infection but does not impact memory IFNγ CD4 T cell responses. On the other hand, while B cell presentation of antigen via MHCII does not have a role in early effector T cell responses to *Salmonella*, it does significantly contribute to memory IFNγ responses 7 weeks post infection. Mice in which B cells are absent or in which B cells lack MHCII, have significant impairments in CD4 IFNγ and IL-2 production in response to *Salmonella* (Barr et al. 2010).

*The lymphotoxin pathway*

Lymphotoxin signaling plays a role in many processes that are foundational to the generation of an appropriate immune response. First and foremost, lymphotoxin-producing lymphoid tissue inducing cells (LTi) are indispensable for the formation of peripheral lymph nodes and PP during development (De Togni et al. 1994; Mariathasan et al. 1995; Mebius et al. 1996; Adachi et al. 1997; M. Matsumoto et al. 1997). Beyond that, lymphotoxin signaling within lymphoid organs organizes lymphoid architecture and promotes lymph node expansion and germinal center formation. The lymphotoxin pathway also drives innate cytokine responses during infection.
Lymphotoxin refers to two molecules within the TNF superfamily: LTα and LTβ. These molecules exist in two forms, as a soluble homotrimer LTα3, or as a membrane bound heterotrimer, LTα1β2 (Browning, Androlewicz, and Ware 1991; Browning et al. 1993; Browning et al. 1995). As members of the TNF superfamily, these molecules are biologically and structurally related to other family members especially TNF and LIGHT (Fu and Chaplin 1999). Lymphocytes, especially B and T cells are the primary producers of both membrane LTα1β2 and soluble LTα3, but expression of these molecules has also been found on natural killer (NK) cells and innate lymphoid 3 cells (ILC3s) (Ware et al. 1992; Tumanov et al. 2011).

The expression of LT on DCs has also been reported (Moussion and Girard 2011). TNF, LTα, and LTβ are genetically linked, and are found closely grouped together within the class III portion of the MHC/HLA on chromosome 6 (Inoko and Trowsdale 1987; Spies et al. 1986; Müller et al. 1987).

Two receptors exist for the LT ligands. LTα is the ligand for TNFRI and TNFRII, sharing its receptors with TNF (Fu and Chaplin 1999). These TNF receptors are widely expressed on almost all cell of the body (Ware et al. 1995). The cell-surface heterotrimer LTα1β2 signals exclusively through a different receptor, LTβR (Crowe et al. 1992).
Expression of LTβR is less promiscuous. Epithelial cells, stromal cells, and myeloid cells including macrophages and DCs are the predominant expressers of LTβR (Ware et al. 1995). Binding of LTα to the TNFR triggers activation of the classical NF-κB pathway while signaling by LTα1β2 via LTβR preferentially triggers activation of the alternative NF-κB signaling cascade (Dejardin et al. 2002; Oeckinghaus, Hayden, and Ghosh 2011). Collectively, the lymphotoxin pathway is a central organizer of lymphoid tissues.

The essential role of the lymphotoxin pathway in lymphoid tissue development was first identified by the Chaplin group when they generated the LTα deficient mouse (De Togni et al. 1994). These animals lacked lymph nodes and PPs and had disrupted splenic architecture., A single mesenteric lymph node was detected in only 2-5% of mice (Fu, Huang, et al. 1997). Since neither TNFRI or TNFRII had these same defects in lymphoid development, it suggested that LTβR is required for lymphoid tissue ontogeny (Pfeffer et al. 1993; Erickson et al. 1994). This was later confirmed when LTβR deficient mice were generated (Fütterer et al. 1998). Further evidence suggesting that membrane LTα1β2 is the primary driver of lymphoid tissue development came with the generation of LTβ deficient mice (Koni et al. 1997). LTβ deficient mice lack LTα1β2 but retain soluble LTα. Peripheral lymph nodes and PPs in LTβ deficient mice are lacking, but mesenteric and cervical lymph nodes are intact, suggesting that LTα signaling via the TNFRs can compensate to some degree for LTα1β2 deficiency.

The generation of lymph nodes occurs within a fixed window during fetal development (Rennert et al. 1996). Disruption of LTβR signaling via administration of LTβR-Ig fusion protein to pregnant mice at or before day 12 of gestation results in an
absence of PP and most lymph nodes, with mesenteric and some cervical lymph nodes retained (Rennert et al. 1996). Administration of the same fusion protein by day 16 of pregnancy causes PP and popliteal LNs to be absent while others remains (Rennert et al. 1996). Giving the fusion protein at day 18, all PP were absent but LN were present. Indeed later studies reveal that Rorc+ LTI (now a member of the ILC3 family of innate lymphoid cells) leave the fetal liver to seed sites of lymph node development (van de Pavert and Mebius 2010). These cells are drawn via their expression of CCR5 to sites rich in CXCL13 (van de Pavert et al. 2009). Once clustered at sites of future lymph node development, LTI via LTα1β2 interact with LTβR+ stromal cells. This interaction begins a positive feedback loop of chemokine, adhesion molecule, and cytokine expression, drawing lymphoid cells into the site (van de Pavert and Mebius 2010; Vondenhoff et al. 2009). Lymphoid cells, especially B cells drawn to the newly forming lymph node also express LTα1β2. Interactions between these new arrivals and different subsets of stromal cells, via LTβR sets up the distinctive micro-domains associated with fully formed lymphoid structures (Bénézech et al. 2010; Mebius et al. 1996).

Although the development of lymph nodes and PPs occurs in fetal ontogeny, lymphotoxin/LTβR signaling continues to have a role in the maintenance of splenic and lymphoid structure throughout adult life. Tonic lymphotoxin signaling helps to maintain the follicular dendritic cell (FDC) network within the spleen and lymph node (Koni et al. 1997; Alimzhanov et al. 1997). B cell production of lymphotoxin helps to maintain numbers of subscapular macrophages important in viral responses (Moseman et al. 2012). Lymphotoxin signaling in the steady state is also needed to maintain the phenotypic features of the high endothelial venule (HEV) that allows the migration of
 naïve lymphocytes into lymph nodes, and blockade of signaling with the LTβR-Ig caused a reduction in lymph node size (Browning et al. 2005). Treatment of newborn mice (in which lymph nodes are formed) with LTβR-Ig leads to a loss of T/B segregation and an absence of follicles (Ettinger et al. 1996). During immunization and infection, lymphotoxin contributes to expansion of the fibroblastic reticular cell (FRC) network (Katakai et al. 2004).

All of these functions make lymphotoxin an important mediator in high affinity antibody responses. The role of LTβR in high affinity antibody responses was revealed with the use of model antigens such as haptenated proteins and sheep red blood cells (SRBC). When LTα, LTβ or LTβR deficient mice were immunized with these antigens, especially at low doses or in the absence of adjuvant, little to no class switched antibody was produced (Matsumoto et al. 1997; Koni et al. 1997; Banks et al. 1995; Matsumoto et al. 1996) (Table 1). Although these animals make robust antigen specific IgM responses, they are unable to form germinal centers and make IgG. These findings support the importance of B cell follicles and germinal centers as the sites for class switching and affinity maturation. It was therefore surprising that lymphotoxin deficient strains are capable of both class switching and some affinity maturation when antigen is adjuvanted, given at high dose, or administered repeatedly (Matsumoto et al. 1996; Koni et al. 1997; Fütterer et al. 1998; Koni and Flavell 1999; Wang et al. 2000) (Table 1). The production of high affinity IgG occurred in the absence of well-structured follicles and germinal centers, suggesting that although lymphotoxin is essential for the maintenance of lymphoid tissue architecture, the presence of this architecture is not absolutely necessary for the formation of high affinity, class switched antibody.
Table 1. Antibody responses to model antigens in lymphotoxin deficient mouse strains.

In this figure data is summarized into findings in which poor antibody responses were noted (top) or in which lymphotoxin deficient strains made partial or normal levels of class switched antibody responses. * As measured by low concentration coated ELISA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Antigen</th>
<th>Mouse genotype</th>
<th>GC?</th>
<th>IgM</th>
<th>IgG</th>
<th>High affinity?*</th>
<th>reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conditions with poor class switched antibody responses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$Ltb^{-/-}$</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Koni et al. 1997; Tumanov et al. 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>irradiated HSV</td>
<td>$Lta^{-/-}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Banks et al. 1995)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KLH in alum 2x</td>
<td>$Lta^{-/-}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Mitsuru Matsumoto et al. 1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low dose NP-OVA</td>
<td>$Lta^{-/-}$</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(Mitsuru Matsumoto et al. 1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conditions with normal or partial class switched antibody responses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP-SRBC 2x</td>
<td>$Lta^{-/-}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td></td>
<td>(Y. Wang et al. 2000, 200)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP-SRBC 4x</td>
<td>$Lta^{-/-}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>(Mitsuru Matsumoto et al. 1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High dose NP-OVA (200ug)</td>
<td>$Lta^{-/-}$</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>(Mitsuru Matsumoto et al. 1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High dose NP-OVA (200ug) + alum</td>
<td>$Lta^{-/-}$</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>(Mitsuru Matsumoto et al. 1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP$_{19}$ -CG (5ug) + alum</td>
<td>$Ltbr^{-/-}$</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(Agnes Fütterer et al. 1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP$_{19}$ -CG (200ug) + alum</td>
<td>$Ltbr^{-/-}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>(Agnes Fütterer et al. 1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP$_{16}$ CG (50ug) + alum</td>
<td>$Lta^{-/-}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>(Koni and Flavell 1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$Ltb^{-/-}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>(Koni and Flavell 1999)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NP$_{13}$ CG (50ug) + alum | $Lta^{-/-}$     | somewhat | + | + | +/- | (Koni and Flavell 1999) |
It should be noted that while the study of the mammalian immune system has closely tied the role of lymph nodes with adaptive immune responses, evolutionarily, T and B cell responses are 300 million years older than lymph nodes (Boehm, Hess, and Swann 2012). Lymph nodes like structures only appear in birds and mammals some 100 million years ago (Boehm, Hess, and Swann 2012) and true lymph nodes, as we study them in the mouse and human only appear in therian mammals (monotremes such as the platypus do not have true lymph nodes while marsupials do) (Lane et al. 2010). Incidentally, the evolutionary appearance of true lymph nodes coincided with a gene duplication event in the TNF locus that resulted in the generation of Ltbr (Lane et al. 2010). Although monotreme mammals lack encapsulated lymph nodes, they have isolated lymphoid follicles (ILFs) where lymph nodes would be, and have organized germinal centers (Diener and Ealey 1965). However, it seems that these animals do not efficiently produce memory B cell responses (Wronski, Woods, and Munday 2003). From an evolutionary perspective, and from observations in mice with LTβR/LT deficiencies, it seems that the lymphotoxin pathway greatly enhances the ability to efficiently produce high affinity antibody.

*The lymphotoxin pathway’s role in infectious disease*

The role of the lymphotoxin pathway in infectious disease has been characterized for a variety of pathogens including viruses, bacteria and parasites. In general, defects within the pathway result in impaired immunity although the specific components of the pathway and the mechanism by which they control pathogens vary greatly. Overall, we can separate the role of the lymphotoxin in infectious disease into
three general categories: importance in lymphoid structures, contributions to lymphoid tissue micro-architecture, and contributions to inflammatory cytokine production. Of course, in many disease models all three of these functions work together to mediate productive immune responses.

Lymphoid structures act as organizational centers for immune responses, coordinating appropriate interactions between cells. Development of lymph nodes occurs early in embryonic development and blocking LTβR/TNFR or LTi cell signaling during this window results in the birth of animals that lack lymphoid structures but retain a spleen and active lymphotoxin signaling. These mice are therefore important tools to study the necessity of lymph node structures in disease. Removal of draining lymph nodes can also serve this purpose. The role of draining lymph nodes or localized structures such as PPs has been an ongoing area of study. In some instances, the absence of draining lymph nodes is compensated for by other lymphoid structures. For example, oral infection of LTα deficient mice with rotavirus results in prolonged viral shedding (Lopatin et al. 2013). But despite a lack of mesenteric lymph nodes and PPs, anti-viral IgA develops, although in a delayed fashion, and leads to viral clearance. The appearance of IgA corresponds with an increase in B cells within the lamina propria (Lopatin et al. 2013). On the other hand, the removal of draining lymph nodes can have a significant impact on disease progression. LTβR−/− and LTβR−/− mice have increased parasitic load following infection with Leishmania (Ehrchen et al. 2008; Xu et al. 2007). This same phenotype can be recapitulated in lymph node less animals created by administration of LTβR-Ig or anti IL7R to pregnant mothers (Rennert et al. 1996). Despite having functional ongoing lymphotoxin signaling, lymph node less animals
infected with *Leishmania* have increased parasitic loads, and reduced T cell IFNγ responses (Ehrchen et al. 2008). In addition to the importance of draining lymph nodes, active lymphotoxin signaling also appeared to have a role in responses to *Leishmania*. Irradiated C57BL/6 mice reconstituted with LTβ−/− bone marrow had an intermediate disease phenotype compared to WT or LTβ−/− mice; they were more resistant than LTβ−/− animals but more susceptible than B6, with reduced IFNγ responses (Xu et al. 2007).

These results highlight that fact that lymphotoxin signaling contributes to more than just lymph node development. During active infection lymphoid organs undergo structural changes in which cells of different types are geographically organized within the tissue to promote appropriate interaction between cells (Figure 2). The interaction between static stromal cells and actively moving lymphoid cells often relies on lymphotoxin. Animals that are deficient in LTα, LTβ or LTβR all have a disorganization of lymphoid tissues to some degree (Fu and Chaplin 1999). Blocking lymphotoxin signaling during infection with LTβR-Ig also results in a disruption of lymphoid tissue microarchitecture (Ettinger et al. 1996). Unsurprisingly, many of the impacts of lymphotoxin in infectious disease center on its role in lymphoid tissue remodeling. Indeed one of the consequences of CD4 T cell loss in HIV infection may be a reduction of LTα1β2 and a disruption of lymphoid structure that further prevents the regeneration of T cell responses (Zeng et al. 2012).

This importance of lymphotoxin in infection driven lymphoid tissue remodeling and immune responses is best exemplified in studies involving viral infection. For
Figure 2. Role of lymphotoxin in lymph node remodeling during infection.
Lymphotoxin plays a major role in lymph node maintenance and remodeling. A. B cell expressing LT maintains LTβR⁺ subcapsular macrophages. These cells capture viral and particulate antigens and produce type I IFN. B. Lymphotoxin promotes lymph node expansion and HEV growth during infection by promoting VEGF production. B cells are one known source of LT but other sources may also be involved. C. LT on B cells interacting with LTβR on follicular dendritic cells (FDC) promotes release of CXCL13. This chemokine draws T cells and DCs into the B cell zone to promote GC reactions.
example, LTα−/− mice infected with herpes simplex virus (HSV) prime efficient CD8 T cell responses but their effector functions are impaired. Although the percentage of antigen-specific, tetramer positive CD8 T cells was the same between C57BL/6 WT and LTα−/− mice following HSV infection, there were marked defects in ex vivo killing and IFNγ production of CD8 T cells derived from Lta−/− mice (Kumaraguru et al. 2001). During LCMV infection, Lta−/− mice also have defects in CD8 responses, including a reduction in virus specific killing and intracellular T cell IFNγ (Suresh et al. 2002). Similarly, infection of Ltb−/− or Ltbr−/− mice with Leishmania resulted in the development of persistent lesions and impairments in CD4 IFNγ production at 9 weeks and 10 weeks after infection but not at 2 weeks (Xu et al. 2007; Wilhelm et al. 2002).

The mechanism by which lymphotoxin drives T cell responses during viral infection appears to be related to the role of LTα1β2 in lymph node architecture. During LCMV infection B cells expressing lymphotoxin reorganize draining lymph nodes (Kumar et al. 2010) When T cells from Lta−/− mice were transferred into B6 mice and infected with LCMV, their function was normal while the reciprocal transfer of CD8 T cells from WT mice into Lta−/− mice resulted in CD8 impairment (Suresh et al. 2002).

Some of this effect is due to LT’s role in maintenance of cells involved with infection. This is most clear in the case of VSV infection where B cells producing lymphotoxin are essential to maintain subcapsular sinus macrophages within the draining lymph node. Subcapsular macrophages act as sentinels of viral infection, capturing incoming viral particles and producing robust type I interferon (Moseman et al. 2012). In the absence of B cell LT, subcapsular sinus macrophages are depleted, type I interferon responses are reduced, and viral load increases. Similarly, LTβR mice
infected with MCMV had reduced type I IFNγ responses (Schneider et al. 2008), suggesting that the role of the lymphotoxin pathway in subcapsular macrophage responses to virus is fairly broad.

A role for B cell lymphotoxin in T cell responses has been demonstrated in a chronic helminth infection model. In this system, LT from B cells is essential to the expression of CXCL13 by stromal cells and the recruitment of T cells into the B cells zone (León et al. 2012). In the absence of this pathway Th2 responses are impaired (León et al. 2012). These active processes related to lymphoid tissue organization seem to be key mediators in organizing immune responses, and lymphotoxin is at the center of this reorganization.

Finally, lymphotoxin mediated regulation of chemokine and cytokine production is critical in both viral and bacterial infections. Infection of Rag−/− mice with HSV results in robust inflammation of the central nervous system and death (Liang et al. 2015). Blocking the lymphotoxin pathway with LTβR-Ig reduces chemokine production and recruitment of monocytes into the CNS, lowers cytokine production in the serum and CNS, and improves animal survival (Liang et al. 2015). During Citrobacter infection, early production of antimicrobial peptides limits bacterial spread (Tumanov et al. 2011). This defense pathway is initiated in the innate phase by ILC production of IL-22 after interaction with IL-23 producing DCs or epithelial cells. Lymphotoxin is essential in the interaction of DCs and epithelial cells with ILC3s and the production of robust IL-22 responses (Wang et al. 2010; Tumanov et al. 2011; Macho-Fernandez et al. 2015). When lymphotoxin is blocked or when ILC3s selectively lack LTβ, IL22 production is impaired and animals succumb to infection (Tumanov et al. 2011). The lymphotoxin
dependent IL22/23 pathway is also present during chemically induced colitis. Administration of DSS also induced the IL-22 pathway of barrier protection in a lymphotoxin dependent mechanism, and a deficiency in LTβ results in reduced survival (Koroleva et al. 2016).

The role of lymphotoxin in Salmonella infection

Relatively little is known about the role of lymphotoxin in Salmonella infection. Previously published work in the streptomycin model of Salmonella reported that at two or three days following inoculation with $10^8$ CFU of virulent Salmonella there was no difference in fecal bacterial load between WT and LTβR deficient mice (Barthel 2003, Hapfelmeir 2005). At these time points, colonic pathology was also comparable. However, fecal bacterial burden at later time points was not analyzed as virulent Salmonella kills hosts on the C57BL/6 background. Interestingly, by day 3 (but not day 2) following oral Salmonella administration LTβR deficient mice showed higher levels of bacteria in the liver suggesting that the lymphotoxin pathway could have a role in Salmonella responses at later time points (Barthel et al. 2003).

Hashizume and colleagues published two papers that address the role of various gut associated lymphoid tissues in mediating antibody responses to attenuated Salmonella vaccine strains (Hashizume et al. 2008; Hashizume et al. 2007). This group utilized an aroA aroD attenuated Salmonella carrying a plasmid with the non-toxigenic fragment C of tetanus toxin under the nirB promoter to orally vaccinate mice in the absence of streptomycin (Hashizume et al. 2008; Hashizume et al. 2007). By generating lymph node-less mice with injection of anti-IL7 receptor to pregnant mothers this group
found that lymph nodes, PP, and MLNs are dispensable for the formation of tetanus toxin specific IgG following oral immunization with vaccine *Salmonella* (Hashizume et al. 2008). They did however report that preformed PPs, but not active lymphotoxin signaling is essential for the generation of vaccine-specific IgA. These studies suggest that lymphotoxin's role in GALT formation is dispensable for the formation of IgG, at least when *Salmonella* vaccine does not actively colonize the gut. Second, the result that treatment with LTβR-Ig during the course of vaccination does not alter IgA antibody responses to a *Salmonella* carried plasmid (tetC) suggests that in the absence of streptomycin pretreatment active lymphotoxin signaling is not essential for IgA formation (Hashizume et al. 2008; Hashizume et al. 2007). These publications do not address the importance of IgA, lymphoid tissue, or lymphotoxin signaling in protective immunity against *Salmonella* or *Clostridium tetani* (the bacteria which produces tetanus toxin).

Lymphotoxin had been demonstrated to play a role in IL22 responses at the gut (Spahn et al. 2004; Tumanov et al. 2011), and the IL22 pathway has been shown to enhance *Salmonella* colonization (Behnsen et al. 2014). The impact of LTβR in late phases of *Salmonella* infection or in protective immunity to *Salmonella* have not been explored. Therefore, using an attenuated *Salmonella* strain and the streptomycin model we sought to explore the role of the lymphotoxin pathway in anti-*Salmonella* immune responses.
Chapter 2: Materials and Methods

Mice

\[ Ltb^{+/}, Ltb^{+/}, Ltb^{+/}, Ltb^{+/}, Ltb^{+/}, Cd4^{Cre+/wt}, Ltb^{fl/fl}, Rorc^{Cre+/wt}, Ltb^{fl/fl}, Cd19^{Cre+/wt} \]

\[ Ltb^{fl/fl} \] were bred and maintained under specific pathogen free conditions at the University of Chicago. Littermate controls were used throughout and generated by breeding heterozygous mice to knockout mice with the dam being the knockout or cre+ animal in the breeding scheme. \( Cd19^{Cre+/Cre+} \) animals were purchased from Jackson and bred to \( Ltb^{fl/fl} \) animals. All other strains were maintained within the same facility for more than five years. Germ-free \( Ltb^{+/} \) mice were rederived by Taconic, breeders were shipped under germ-free conditions, and maintained in the gnotobiotic facility at the University of Chicago. Germ-free \( Ltb^{+/+} \) mice were generated by breeding germ-free \( Ltb^{+/} \) mice to germ-free C57BL/6 mice also purchased from Taconic Bioscience. Mice were maintained according to the standards set by the University of Chicago's Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (protocol #71866).

Bacterial growth

\( Salmonella enterica \) serovar \( typhimurium \) SL3261 \( aroA \) attenuated strain (generously provided by Dr. Cathryn Nagler) or a virulent parental strain SL1344, was grown in LB broth from a single colony in a low volume (4mL) starter culture. After 8-10 hours of growth, a large volume of LB was inoculated with the starter culture at a 1:100 dilution and grown overnight. CFU was estimated by spectrophotometry based on a previously determined standard curve.
Infections

All infections were performed in littermate-controlled mice in BSL2 conditions at 6-15 weeks of age. Animals were treated with 20 mg/mouse streptomycin (US Biological) by gavage. 24 hours later animals were inoculated by gavage with of $1 \times 10^9$ CFU aroA, SL3261 diluted in PBS. For virulent challenge, naive mice or those that had 45 to 50 days previously been inoculated with attenuated Salmonella were orally challenged with a dose of $1 \times 10^6$ SL1344 in the absence of streptomycin. Dosage was confirmed by serial dilution and plating on MacConkey agar plates.

Bacterial CFU in fecal or tissue samples

To determine bacterial CFU in feces, pellets were collected, weighed, and resuspended in PBS at 100mg/mL. Samples were briefly homogenized. The homogenate was spun down for 10min at 800 RPM and the supernatant was collected. To determine CFU in spleen and liver tissue organs were collected, weighed and resuspended in PBS with 0.01% Triton X-100 at 100mg/mL and briefly homogenized. To determine Salmonella load within gut tissues, colon and cecum was collected, opened longitudinally, washed with ice cold PBS, and weighted. Tissues were then incubated for 30 min at 37 degrees in RPMI with 10% FCS plus gentamycin (400μg/mL) then washed three times with 10 mL cold PBS. Tissues were resuspended in PBS with 0.01% Triton and briefly homogenized. After serial dilution in PBS, CFU of all samples was determined by plating on MacConkey agar with streptomycin (50μg/ml).
**Salmonella antigen preparation**

*Salmonella* protein extract from SL3261 was produced by overnight culture of bacteria (as described above) followed by centrifugation at 4000 RPM. The bacterial pellet was frozen at -80 degrees and subsequently freeze-shocked in liquid nitrogen and thawed in a 37-degree water bath 3 times. It was then sonicated on ice 3 times for 1 min. The protein fraction was extracted by addition of B-PER® Bacterial Protein Extract reagent (Thermo Scientific) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, the sample was incubated for 30 min with slow rotation at room temperature and centrifuged at 1400 RPM at 4 degrees for 20 minutes. The clear supernatant was stored at -80 until further use.

Heat killed *Salmonella typhimurium* was prepared by overnight growth in LB broth. OD was determined as previously described and the sample was spun down. After washing twice with PBS, the loosened bacterial pellet was incubated for 1 hour at 65 degrees and then resuspended in PBS at $1 \times 10^9$ CFU/mL. Samples were stored at -80 until further use.

**ELISA**

ELISA plates were coated with *Salmonella* protein extract at 10ug/mL in PBS overnight. After washing 3x with PBS plus 0.05% Tween 20, plates were blocked with 3% FCS for 2-4 hours. After washing 3x, serum samples were diluted in PBS + 1% FCS and, added to the plate, and incubated overnight at 4 degrees. A standard curve derived from hyperimmunized serum was added onto each plate. After washing, detection antibodies for anti-IgG AP (Southern Biotech 1031-04) or anti-IgM AP (Southern Biotech
1021-04) were diluted in PBS + 1% FCS and incubate at room temperature for 1 hour. After a final wash, anti-Salmonella antibody responses were detected by addition of pNPP (KPL 50-80-00).

Hyperimmunized serum was generated by repeated oral immunization of C57BL/6 mice with attenuated SL3261 Salmonella. To quantify total antibody of a specific isotype serum was added in serial dilution to anti-IgG or anti-IgM coated plates. Unlabeled mouse IgG or IgM was used as a standard. To quantify Salmonella-specific antibody serum was added in serial dilution to Salmonella antigen coated or BSA coated plates. The total Ig ELISA was used to quantify the amount of Salmonella-specific antibody that was Salmonella reactive and BSA unreactive.

In vitro and in vivo restimulation

A single cell preparation of splenocytes was aliquoted at 5 x 10^5 cells/well in a round bottom 96 well plate in RPMI with 10% FCS, pen/strep, and HEPES. Cells were stimulated with Salmonella antigen at a dose of 50 ng/well to 50µg/well. For anti-CD3/CD28 re-stimulation, plates were coated with anti-CD3 (eBioscience, clone 17A2, 16-0032-85) at 2µg/mL in PBS overnight, then washed three times with PBS. Soluble anti-CD28 (eBioscience, clone 37.51, 16-0281-85) was added at a concentration of 2µg/mL. 48 hours later the supernatants were collected and cytokine production was measured with the mouse inflammation cytokine bead array (Becton, Dickinson and company, 552364) according to manufacturer’s instructions. For proliferation studies, cells were stained with CellTrace Violet (ThermoFisher C34557) according to manufacturer’s instructions.
For *in vivo* stimulation animals were injected i.v. with $3 \times 10^8$ CFU of heat killed *Salmonella*. Four or 24 hours later animals were sacrificed and spleens were collected into ice cold PBS. A single cell suspension was made by mashing through a 0.5um filter. Cells were stained as described below.

**Fusion protein and functional antibody blockade**

LTβR-Ig (Rennert et al. 1996) was produced in house. 100µg LTβR-Ig was administered i.p. every 7 days starting at day 22 and ending on day 43 after oral administration of attenuated *Salmonella* to Ltbr<sup>−/−</sup> animals.

Anti-IFNγ blocking antibody (clone R4-6A2) was purchased from BioXCell. Polyclonal rat IgG (BioXCell, Catalog # BE0094) was used as an isotype control. 200µg of antibody was administered i.p. once a week starting at day 28 and ending on day 42 after oral administration of attenuated *Salmonella*.

**Flow cytometry**

Cultured or fresh splenocytes were washed once with PBS and stained for 30 minutes at 4°C for 30 minutes with live dead dye (BioLegend). Cells were washed twice with 1% FCS in PBS. Anti-CD16/CD32 Fc Block (in house, clone 2.4G2) was added to cells for 15 min at room temperature. Without washing, surface antibodies in 1% FCS were added at previously established dilutions and incubated for 30 min at 4°C. Surface antibodies include anti-CD4 (PEcy7, Biolegend, clone GK1.5), anti-CD3 (percpCy5.5, Biolegend, clone 145-2c11), and anti-CD8 (APCcy7, Biolegend, clone 53-6.7). Cells were washed twice in 1% FCS. For intracellular staining cells were fixed for 30 minutes.
in IC Fixation Buffer (eBioscience, 00-8222-49) followed by a wash in permeabilization buffer (eBioscience, 00-8333-56). Intracellular antibodies were added in permeabilization buffer for 30 min. They include anti-IFNγ (APC, Pierce Antibodies, clone XMG1.2), anti TNFα (PE, eBioscience clone, MP6-X722). Fluorescence minus one controls for IFNγ and TNFα were used to set positive gates.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed with GraphPad Prism version 5.0d and analyzed through statistical tests available in the software. Data is representative of Mann Whitney test when normal distribution of the data could not be confirmed and unpaired Student's t test when data was distributed normally. The following symbols indicate the order of significance: * indicates $P < 0.05$, ** indicates $P < 0.01$, and *** indicates $P < 0.001$. 
Chapter 3: The role of the lymphotxin pathway in resolution of Salmonella gastrointestinal infection

Similar kinetics of disease after oral exposure to virulent Salmonella between Ltbr<sup>+/−</sup> and Ltbr<sup>−/−</sup> littermates.

Oral infection of mice on the C57BL/6 background with virulent Salmonella typhimurium strain SL1344 is fatal (Mastroeni 2002; Stecher et al. 2006). To determine if LTβR plays a role in progression of disease littermate Ltbr<sup>+/−</sup> and Ltbr<sup>−/−</sup> mice were orally infected with 1 x 10<sup>6</sup> CFU of SL1344. Both strains of mice were highly susceptible to virulent Salmonella and 100% of animals succumbed to infection after 15 days. No difference in survival was observed between LTβR<sup>+/−</sup> and LTβR<sup>−/−</sup> (Figure 3).

Attenuated Salmonella does not cause death or weight loss in Ltbr<sup>+/−</sup> or littermate Ltbr<sup>−/−</sup> mice.

Because the C57BL/6 strain is highly susceptible to virulent Salmonella, a possible role for LTβR could be masked by the rapid death observed. Attenuated strains of Salmonella can reproduce many aspects of human disease pathology while not killing...
the host. This is especially true in the streptomycin model of *Salmonella* gastrointestinal disease where infection with a replication attenuated mutant can cause self-limiting gut inflammation and systemic dissemination (Endt et al. 2010). Attenuated strains of *Salmonella* can also act as live attenuated vaccines that mediate protection to virulent *Salmonella* (Hormaeche et al. 1990). Because LTβR plays a role in immune responses in the colon after *Citrobacter rodentium* infection (Tumanov et al. 2011; Zheng et al. 2008) we hypothesized that its role in the mucosa of *Salmonella* infected mice would be revealed using the streptomycin treatment model. To test if LTβR plays a role in *Salmonella* diarrhea, littermate *Ltbr*<sup>+/−</sup> and *Ltbr*<sup>−/−</sup> animals were pretreated orally with streptomycin one day prior to oral infection with 1 x 10<sup>9</sup> CFU attenuated *Salmonella aroA* strain SL3261. Although this protocol leads to inflammation of the colon and cecum and mild diarrhea it did not lead to death or weight loss in either *Ltbr*<sup>+/−</sup> or littermate *Ltbr*<sup>−/−</sup> mice (Figure 4A). However, *Ltbr*<sup>+/−</sup> animals did maintain a higher growth rate over the course of infection (Figure 4B). These data suggest that an absence of

**Figure 4.** LTβR does not impact survival following oral administration of attenuated *Salmonella* in the streptomycin model of *Salmonella*

Oral streptomycin was administered to littermate *Ltbr*<sup>+/−</sup> and *Ltbr*<sup>−/−</sup> one day prior to oral administration of attenuated *Salmonella*. **A.** Survival following attenuated *Salmonella*. **B.** Percent weight lost or gained of mice in A. *Ltbr*<sup>+/−</sup> n = 10, *Ltbr*<sup>−/−</sup> n = 11 from two pooled independent experiments. In B, each point represents group average, bars represent ± SEM and * P < 0.05 by unpaired t test.
LTβR does not lead to overt disease pathology during infection with attenuated Salmonella in the streptomycin model of gastrointestinal disease.

LTβR is required for clearance of attenuated Salmonella from the gut lumen and resolution of gut inflammation.

Oral pretreatment of mice with streptomycin prior to administration of attenuated Salmonella causes a loss of colonization resistance and allows for outgrowth of the pathogen in the lumen of the cecum and colon, and initiation of host inflammation is a key, pathogen-driven event that is required to initiate gut colonization (Stecher et al. 2007). To determine if LTβR plays a role in pathogen gut colonization, levels of Salmonella in the feces were measured in littermate Ltbr+/− or Ltbr−/− mice. Initial colonization, as determined by fecal CFU at day 7 was comparable between both strains of mice and remained similar until day 14 post infection (Figure 5A). Salmonella-induced colonic inflammation as measured by colon weight to length ratio was comparable between littermate Ltbr+/− and Ltbr−/− mice at day 21 post infection (Figure 5B). Cecal inflammation, as reflected by morphological changes to the organ, at this time point was also equivalent between both strains of mice (Figure 5C). Together these data suggest that initiation of gut pathology and bacterial colonization is not impacted by an absence of LTβR.

Gut inflammation and colonization of attenuated Salmonella resolves in the C57BL/6 strain by 3 to 9 weeks of infection (Endt et al. 2010). By day 45 post infection the majority of Ltbr+/− animals had no detectable CFU of Salmonella in the feces, indication that they had cleared infection (Figure 5A). As bacterial clearance was
achieved, $Ltb^{+/—}$ mice also resolved colonic inflammation as indicated by a return of colon weight to length ratio to pre-infection status (Figure 5B). Similarly, cecal morphology returned to pre-infection state in $Ltb^{+/—}$ mice 50 days following infection (Figure 5C). Unlike their $Ltb^{+/—}$ sufficient littermates, $Ltb^{—/—}$ animals were unable to clear Salmonella from the gut lumen and maintained high levels of Salmonella CFU in the
feces at day 45 post infection (Figure 5A). Persistence of pathogen in the gut lumen was reflected by persistent gut inflammation in $Ltb^{r/-}$ mice at day 50 post infection (Figure 5B) and disrupted cecal morphology (Figure 5C).

To determine if an abundance of Salmonella in the lumen corresponded to increased pathogen load in the tissues, the abundance of Salmonella in systemic organs and within gut tissues were determined in $Ltb^{+/+}$ or $Ltb^{r/-}$ mice. To measure the amount of Salmonella within gut tissues, rather than attached luminal bacteria, colon and cecal tissues were washed with gentamicin to remove any attached bacteria and intracellular Salmonella was liberated from tissues by homogenization with a detergent.

Initial load of Salmonella was comparable in all tissues at a time point when luminal loads of pathogen were also similar (Figure 6A-D). However as luminal loads of Salmonella diverged in $Ltb^{+/-}$ or $Ltb^{r/-}$.
mice, so did the abundance of bacteria within tissues; \( Ltbr^{-/-} \) mice harbored higher loads of \( Salmonella \) within the spleen and cecum compared to \( Ltbr^{+/-} \) littermates (Figure 6A, D). As the \( \text{aroA} \) strain is inefficient at intracellular replication, we interpret the increased abundance of intracellular \( Salmonella \) in systemic tissues of \( Ltbr^{-/-} \) mice as a result of continued persistence in the gut lumen.

\( LT\beta R \) is required to drive microbiota-mediated clearance of \( Salmonella \) from the gut.

Clearance of \( Salmonella \) from the gut requires a complete microbiota (Endt et al. 2010; B. Stecher et al. 2005). It was possible that \( Ltbr^{-/-} \) animals lacked an essential component of the gut microbiota necessary for competition with, and clearance of, \( Salmonella \). To test this hypothesis, germ free \( Ltbr^{+/-} \) or \( Ltbr^{-/-} \) animals were monoclonized with attenuated \( Salmonella \). Monocolonization led to robust growth of \( Salmonella \) in the feces in both strains of mice. One day later, both groups of mice were conventionalized by oral administration of the cecal content from the same SPF \( Ltbr^{-/-} \) donor (Figure 7A). Addition of bacteria from SPF \( Ltbr^{-/-} \) donors was sufficient to drive clearance of \( Salmonella \) from the gut lumen of \( Ltbr^{+/-} \) animals; most \( Ltbr^{+/-} \) mice had no detectable \( Salmonella \) CFU in the feces by day 58 post attenuated \( Salmonella \) (Figure 7B). However, the same SPF donor microbiota was unable to mediate \( Salmonella \) clearance in
Figure 7. LTβR signaling drives microbiota mediated clearance of *Salmonella*. Germ free *Ltb*+/* or *Ltb*−/−animals were orally colonized with attenuated *Salmonella*. One day later animals were conventionalized by oral gavage with cecal contents from SPF donors. 

A. Schematic of experiment. CFU of *Salmonella* in the feces of mice colonized with cecal content from B. *Ltb*−/−SPF donor, C. *Ltb*+/−SPF and D. SPF *Ltb*−/−donor treated with streptomycin one day prior to harvest of cecal content. A. *Ltb*+/+ n = 7, *Ltb*−/− n = 10, pooled from two independent experiments. B. n = 5-12 per group, pooled from two independent experiments. C. n = 4-5 mice per group, one experiment. Each dot represents an individual mouse, bars represent mean ± SEM, NS is not significant, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 by Mann-Whitney test.
$Ltbr^{+/−}$ hosts which maintained near peak levels of $Salmonella$ colonization (Figure 7B). Similar results were obtained when donor cecal material came from $Ltbr^{+/−}$ donors (Figure 7C). It was possible that the abundance of $Salmonella$ competitors in our colony was low, and that treatment of animals with streptomycin removed these species. To test this question SPF $Ltbr^{+/−}$ mice were treated with streptomycin one day prior to collection of cecal material. This cecal content was then used to conventionalize $Salmonella$ monocolonized mice. As with previous data, streptomycin-treated SPF cecal content was sufficient to mediate $Salmonella$ clearance in $Ltbr^{+/+}$ animals but not $Ltbr^{+/−}$ (Figure 7D). These data indicate that while $Ltbr^{+/−}$ mice do indeed harbor a microbiota capable of clearing $Salmonella$ from the gut, LTβR signaling or LTβR-dependent lymphoid structures are required to drive this clearance.

**E. coli levels are not a predictor of clearance**

The abundance of *E. coli* species prior to colonization with *Salmonella* has been positively correlated with the ability of *Salmonella* to colonize the host gut even in the absence of streptomycin treatment (Stecher et al. 2010). It has also been suggested that *Salmonella* utilize the IL-22 pathway to compete with members of the microbiota, especially *E. coli*, to mediate colonization (Behnsen et al. 2014). It is not known if *E. coli* abundance correlates with clearance of *Salmonella*. Since LTβR is an important driver of the IL-22 pathway during *Citrobacter rodentium* infection (Tumanov et al. 2011), it was possible that *Salmonella* persistence in $Ltbr^{+/−}$ mice is associated with an inability to control IL-22 sensitive gut bacteria such as *E. coli*. To determine if levels of *E. coli*
correlated with clearance of *Salmonella*, *E. coli* levels were measured in feces of germ free *Ltbr*\(^{+/+}\) or *Ltbr*\(^{-/-}\) animals, monocolonized with *Salmonella* and then conventionalized with cecal contents from *Ltbr*\(^{-/-}\) donors. Both *Ltbr*\(^{+/+}\) and *Ltbr*\(^{-/-}\) mice harbored similar levels of *E. coli* following conventionalization and abundance of this species peaked at day 14 after conventionalization (**Figure 8**). Levels of *E. coli* decreased as *Salmonella* abundance decreased, and in *Ltbr*\(^{+/+}\) mice those with the lowest level of *E. coli* achieved clearance earlier than those with high levels of *E. coli* (boxed triangles in Figure 8) suggesting that both strains may be controlled by similar mechanisms. However, there was not a significant difference in the level of *E. coli* between *Ltbr*\(^{+/+}\) and *Ltbr*\(^{-/-}\) mice during either the initiation or clearance phase. Therefore, although *E. coli* levels may correlate with *Salmonella* colonization and abundance, they do not appear to drive *Salmonella* clearance.
LTβR’s role in Salmonella clearance depends on direct signaling

Active lymphotoxin signaling can be blocked in lymph node sufficient mice by administration of LTβR-Ig fusion protein. To determine if the role of lymphotoxin in clearance of Salmonella from the gut is through active lymphotoxin signaling, Ltbr+/− animals were treated with blocking LTβR-Ig fusion protein once a week for four weeks starting at day 22 following attenuated Salmonella vaccination. CFU of bacteria in the feces was monitored. Transient blockade of lymphotoxin receptor signaling was sufficient to delay bacterial clearance from the gut compared to untreated Ltbr+/− mice, and treated animals had Salmonella levels comparable to Ltbr−/− mice (Figure 8). These data suggest that active signaling of LTβR drives clearance of Salmonella.

Figure 9. Lymphotoxin signaling drives fecal clearance of Salmonella.
100µg LTβR-Ig was administered i.p. every 7 days starting at day 22 and ending on day 43 after oral administration of attenuated Salmonella to Ltbr+/− animals. Littermate Ltbr−/− and untreated Ltbr+/− are included as a positive control. CFU of Salmonella in the feces. Ltbr+/− n = 8, Ltbr+/− + LTβR-Ig n = 9, Ltbr−/− n = 4, pooled from two independent experiments. * P < 0.05 by Mann-Whitney test. Each dot represents an individual mouse, bars represent mean + SEM.
Chapter 4: The role of the lymphotoxin pathway in anti-Salmonella immunity

LTβR is essential to generate protective immunity to secondary challenge with virulent Salmonella following vaccination with attenuated Salmonella

The strain of attenuated Salmonella utilized can act as a live-attenuated vaccine and mediate protection to challenge with virulent Salmonella (Cardenas and Clements 1992). To determine if LTβR plays a role in acquisition of protective immunity, littermate Ltb/+ and Ltb/- animals were pretreated with streptomycin 24 hours prior to oral administration of attenuated Salmonella and challenged 45 days later with the virulent strain SL1344 in the absence of streptomycin. While all Ltb/+ mice were fully protected against challenge, none of the Ltb/- littermate mice survived (Figure 10A). Death of Ltb/- mice was preceded by high Salmonella burden in systemic organs (Figure 10B) as well as profound splenomegaly (Figure 10C). These data suggested that LTβR is essential for mediating protective immunity to Salmonella following oral vaccination with an attenuated strain.

Figure 10. LTβR is essential for mediating protective immunity to Salmonella. Oral challenge with 1 x 10^7 CFU virulent Salmonella of littermate Ltb/+ or Ltb/- mice. 45 days prior to challenge, animals were pretreated with streptomycin followed one day later by oral attenuated Salmonella. A. Survival post challenge. B. CFU of Salmonella in the spleen and liver 10 days post challenge. C. Spleen weight 10 days post challenge. A. Ltb/+ n =10, Ltb/- n =14, pooled from 3 independent experiments. B and C Ltb/+ n = 7, Ltb/- n = 7, pooled from two independent experiments. ** P < 0.01 using Mann-Whitney test. Each dot represents an individual mouse, bars represent mean ± SEM.
The role of LTβR in mediating protection against Salmonella is independent of gut persistence.

Persistent infections have been shown to impair the innate and adaptive immune system through a variety of mechanisms (Zajac et al. 1998; Monack, Mueller, and Falkow 2004; Ng et al. 2013; Zuniga et al. 2015). It is possible that long term persistence of attenuated Salmonella in gut tissue results in tolerance or exhaustion that can lead to impaired immune responses. To test this hypothesis, \( \text{Ltbr}^{+/+} \) and \( \text{Ltbr}^{-/-} \) mice were given attenuated Salmonella by i.p. injection. Attenuated aroA Salmonella is impaired in intracellular replication and the presence of Salmonella was undetectable in feces.

Upon i.p. challenge with virulent Salmonella, \( \text{Ltbr}^{+/+} \) mice were fully protected indicating the attenuated Salmonella can induce protective immune responses in the absence of growth in the gut. Unlike their \( \text{Ltbr}^{+/+} \) littermates \( \text{Ltbr}^{-/-} \) mice died after i.p. challenge with virulent Salmonella (Figure 11). These data suggest that persistence of attenuated Salmonella in the gut is not the cause of impaired immunity at challenge, and that both gut persistence and impaired immunity are downstream effects of LTβR deficiency.

Figure 11. The role of LTβR in mediating protection against Salmonella is independent of gut persistence
Attenuated Salmonella was administered by intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection to littermate \( \text{Ltbr}^{+/+} \) or \( \text{Ltbr}^{-/-} \) animals. 45 days later animals were challenged with virulent Salmonella by i.p. injection. Survival post challenge with virulent Salmonella. \( \text{Ltbr}^{+/+} \) \( n = 8 \) \( \text{Ltbr}^{-/-} \) \( n = 11 \), representative of two independent experiments.
**LTβR drives generation of anti-Salmonella class switched antibody.**

Protective memory responses against *Salmonella* comprise both B and T cell responses (Mittrucker and Kaufmann 2000). To determine if B cell immunity to *Salmonella* requires LTβR, anti-*Salmonella* antibody responses in the serum of *Ltbr<sup>+</sup>* and littermate *Ltbr<sup>-/-</sup>* mice were measured following oral administration of streptomycin and attenuated *Salmonella*. While both *Ltbr<sup>+</sup>* and *Ltbr<sup>-/-</sup>* mice made robust anti-*Salmonella* IgM ([Figure 12A](#)), *Ltbr<sup>-/-</sup>* were unable to mount anti-*Salmonella* IgG responses ([Figure 12B](#)). This suggests that while *Ltbr<sup>-/-</sup>* do mount antibody responses against *Salmonella*, LTβR plays a role in class switching to IgG.

---

**Figure 12. LTβR is required for anti-Salmonella IgG responses.**

Oral streptomycin was administered to littermate *Ltbr<sup>+</sup>* and *Ltbr<sup>-/-</sup>* one day prior to oral administration of attenuated *Salmonella*. **A.** Anti-*Salmonella* IgM as measured by ELISA. **B.** Anti-*Salmonella* IgG. Values are standardized to hyper-immunized serum. *Ltbr<sup>-/-</sup>* n = 12 *Ltbr<sup>+</sup>* n = 14, pooled from four independent experiments, * P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01 by Mann-Whitney test, each dot represents group mean, bars represent mean ± SEM.
LTβR’s role in generation of anti-Salmonella class switched antibody is independent of gut persistence and GALT priming.

Because the previous experiment was performed with oral Salmonella it is possible that the lack of GALT structures and priming in Ltb⁻/⁻ mice resulted in the lack of anti-Salmonella IgG. To determine if this class switch defect in Ltb⁻/⁻ mice was GALT specific, littermate Ltb⁺/⁻ and Ltb⁻/⁻ mice were vaccinated with attenuated Salmonella by i.p. injection. As with oral Salmonella, i.p. administration caused a robust anti-Salmonella IgM and IgG antibody response in Ltb⁺/⁻ mice. While Ltb⁻/⁻ mice were able to mount anti-Salmonella IgM at day 7 post infection (Figure 13A), they were unable to generate anti-Salmonella IgG (Figure 13B). Levels of IgM in Ltb⁻/⁻ mice were low at
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**Figure 13. The role of LTβR in IgG responses against Salmonella is independent of gut priming and gut persistence.**

Attenuated Salmonella was administered by intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection to littermate Ltb⁺/⁻ or Ltb⁻/⁻ animals. 45 days later animals were challenged with virulent Salmonella by i.p. injection. A. Anti-Salmonella IgM as measured by ELISA. B. Anti-Salmonella IgG. A and B values are standardized to hyper-immunized serum. Ltb⁺/⁻ n = 8 Ltb⁻/⁻ n = 11, representative of two independent experiments, NS is not significant, * P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01 by Mann Whitney test, each dot represents group mean, bars represent + SEM.
later time points during infection suggesting, perhaps, that sustained antigen in the gut promotes IgM production. Overall, these data reveal that the role of LTβR in class switching of antibody is not limited to the GALT.

**Active LTβR signaling drives generation of anti-Salmonella class switched antibody.**

To further determine if the role of LTβR in the generation of anti-Salmonella IgG is through GALT structure or active lymphotoxin signaling, *Ltbr*+/− animals were treated with blocking LTβR-Ig fusion protein during oral attenuated *Salmonella* vaccination. This treatment was sufficient to decrease anti-Salmonella IgG responses to levels that were intermediate between untreated *Ltbr*+/− and *Ltbr*−/− mice (Figure 14). However, this transient blockade did not impact survival of the mice at challenge (data not shown). These data suggest that active lymphotoxin signaling contributes to anti-

*Salmonella* IgG responses.

---

**Figure 14.** Lymphotoxin signaling drives generation of anti-Salmonella IgG responses

100μg LTβR-Ig was administered i.p. every 7 days starting at day 22 and ending on day 43 after oral administration of attenuated *Salmonella* to *Ltbr*+/− animals. Littermate *Ltbr*−/− and untreated *Ltbr*+/− are included as a positive control. Anti-Salmonella IgG, *Ltbr*+/− n = 8, *Ltbr*+/− + LTβR-Ig n = 9, *Ltbr*−/− n = 4, pooled from two independent experiments *P* < 0.05 by Student's t-test. Each dot represents group mean, bars represent + SEM.
LTβR contributes to IFNγ and TNFα cytokine recall responses.

Although antibody does contribute to protection against *Salmonella*, it is neither sufficient to mediate protection nor strictly required (Mastroeni, Villarreal-Ramos, and Hormaeche 1993; Mittrücker and Kaufmann 2000). IFNγ and TNFα production is a major determinant of protective immunity. To determine if LTβR contributes to cytokine responses against *Salmonella*, splenocytes from naïve or attenuated *Salmonella*-infected *Ltbr<sup>+</sup>/ or *Ltbr<sup>-</sup>/ mice were re-stimulated in vitro with *Salmonella* protein extract. Splenocytes from both *Ltbr<sup>+</sup>/ or *Ltbr<sup>-</sup>/ mice were able to mount anti-*Salmonella* IFNγ recall responses 21 days after receiving attenuated *Salmonella*. However, while *Ltbr<sup>+</sup>/ mice continued to make robust IFNγ recall responses to *Salmonella* 50 and 80 days post infection, *Ltbr<sup>-</sup>/ had severely impaired IFNγ responses at these time points (Figure 15A). These impairments were limited to IFNγ as splenocyte IL-6 production was similar between the two strains (Figure 15B) and TNFα production was enhanced in *Ltbr<sup>-</sup>/ mice, even as early as day 21 following *Salmonella* infection (Figure 15C). These data
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**Figure 15.** LTβR contributes to IFNγ and TNFα cytokine recall responses. Oral streptomycin was administered to littermate *Ltbr<sup>+</sup>/ and *Ltbr<sup>-</sup>/ one day prior to oral administration of attenuated *Salmonella*. Splenocyte cultures were restimulated or not for 48 hours in vitro with *Salmonella* protein extract A. IFN-γ, B. IL-6, and C. TNF-α measured in culture supernatant. n = 3 to 8 per group, pooled from three independent experiments. Bars represent mean +SEM, * P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01 by Mann-Whitney test.
suggest that LTβR contributes to late phase IFNγ and TNFα recall responses following infection with attenuated Salmonella.

_T cell responses to Salmonella are impaired in Ltbr<sup>-/-</sup> mice._

To understand if the reduction of IFN-γ observed in splenocytes cultured from _Ltbr<sup>-/-</sup>_ mice reflected a T cell defect, proliferation of T cells in re-stimulated splenocyte

---

**Figure 16. T cell proliferative responses to Salmonella antigens are impaired in Ltbr<sup>-/-</sup> mice.**

Oral streptomycin was administered to littermate _Ltbr<sup>+/+</sup>_ and _Ltbr<sup>-/-</sup>_ one day prior to oral administration of attenuated _Salmonella_. Splenocytes were labeled with CellTrace, stimulated or not with _Salmonella_ antigen, and cultured for 48 hours. **A.** Representative flow plot of CD4 T cell proliferation as measured by CellTrace dilution. **B.** Quantification of A. **C.** Representative flow plot of CD8 T cell proliferation. **D.** Quantification of C. One representative experiment of two. Naïve mice n = 1, infected mice n = 4. Bars represent mean +SEM * P < 0.05, by Mann-Whitney test.
cultures derived from naïve mice or those infected 50 days earlier with attenuated Salmonella was measured. Stimulation with Salmonella protein extract caused robust proliferation of CD4 and CD8 T cells derived from Salmonella-infected Ltbr^{+/−} mice but not naïve mice (Figure 16). However, CD4 and CD8 T cells derived from Ltbr^{−/−} mice infected 50 days earlier with attenuated Salmonella had a significant defect in antigen driven proliferation (Figure 16). These data suggest that exposure of Ltbr^{−/−} mice to attenuated Salmonella results in impairments in antigen-specific CD4 and CD8 T cell proliferation.

Salmonella infection of Ltbr^{−/−} causes impairments in TCR driven proliferation

To determine if the observed impairment in T cell proliferation was antigen

Figure 17. Salmonella-mediated supression of T cells in Ltbr^{−/−} mice in response to anti-CD3/CD28
Oral streptomycin was administered to littermate Ltbr^{+/−} and Ltbr^{−/−} one day prior to oral administration of attenuated Salmonella. Splenocytes were labeled with CellTrace, stimulated or not for 48 hours with plate bound anti-CD3 and soluble anti-CD28 A. Representative flow plots of CD4^{+} T cell proliferation B. Quantification of A. C. Representative flow plots of CD8^{+} T cell proliferation. D. Quantification of C. One representative experiment of two. Naïve mice n = 1, infected mice n =4. * P < 0.05, by Mann-Whitney test.
specific or more broad, proliferation of T cells in response to restimulation with plate bound anti-CD3 plus soluble anti-CD28 was measured in mixed splenocyte cultures. Splenocytes derived from naïve \( \text{Ltbr}^{+/ -} \) and \( \text{Ltbr}^{-/-} \) mice had comparable levels of CD4 and CD8 T cell proliferation when re-stimulated with anti-CD3/CD28 (Fig. 17). However, \textit{Salmonella}-exposed \( \text{Ltbr}^{-/-} \) mice had impairments in CD4 and CD8 T cell proliferation when re-stimulated with anti-CD3/CD28 (Fig. 17). This impairment in CD2/CD28 driven proliferation was most pronounced in CD4 T cells of \( \text{Ltbr}^{-/-} \) mice. These data suggest that exposure of \( \text{Ltbr}^{-/-} \) mice to attenuated \textit{Salmonella} results in broad, antigen-nonspecific impairments in CD4 and CD8 T cell proliferation.

One possible explanation for these data is that T cells from \( \text{Ltbr}^{-/-} \) mice had a defect in survival after stimulation with either \textit{Salmonella} antigen or anti-CD3/CD28. This does not seem to be the case as the percentage of live splenocytes in culture was unchanged between \( \text{Ltbr}^{+/ -} \) and \( \text{Ltbr}^{-/-} \) mice under the same stimulation conditions (Fig. 18). These data suggested that exposure of \( \text{Ltbr}^{-/-} \) mice to live, attenuated \textit{Salmonella} causes profound CD4 T cell impairments in both antigen specific and non-specific populations that are not due to cell death following stimulation.

**Figure 18. Lymphocyte survival after 48 hours in culture.** Oral streptomycin was administered to littermate \( \text{Ltbr}^{+/ -} \) and \( \text{Ltbr}^{-/-} \) one day prior to oral administration of attenuated \textit{Salmonella}. Splenocytes were cultured for 48 hours with indicated stimulus. Percent of live lymphocytes was measured as % of live/dead dye negative FSC/SSC gated lymphocytes. One representative experiment of two. Naïve mice \( n = 1 \), infected mice \( n = 4 \).
Granulocytes accumulate after Salmonella infection in Ltbr−/− mice but do not mediate T cell suppression in vitro.

As the observed T cell impairments in Ltbr−/− mice extended to antigen non-specific responses, and occurred in mixed splenocyte cultures, a possible explanation for the observed impairment was that Ltbr−/− mice had increased numbers of suppressive cells. It has previously been observed that suppressive GR1+ monocytes accumulate following Salmonella infection and that these cells can suppress antigen specific T cells responses and impair CD3/CD28 driven T cell proliferation in vitro (Tam et al. 2014). To determine if these innate cells play a role in suppression of immune responses in Ltbr−/− mice, the proportion of granulocytic cells within the spleens of littermate Ltbr+/− and Ltbr−/− 

Figure 19. Granulocytes accumulate in Ltbr−/− mice after Salmonella infection but do not mediate lymphocyte suppressing in vitro.

Oral streptomycin was administered to littermate Ltbr+/− and Ltbr−/− one day prior to oral administration of attenuated Salmonella. A. Representative flow plot of granulocytes in the spleen of naïve or Salmonella infected animals. B. Quantification of A. For C granulocytes were sorted based of SSChigh gating as in A. Lymphocytes were sorted by tight gating of SSClowFSCint cells. Cells were cultured for 48 hours with plate bound anti CD3 and soluble anti CD28 as previously described. SSChigh cells from the same mouse were added at time 0 as a percentage of lymphocytes. C. IFNγ in the supernatant after 48 hours in culture. A and B representative of three pooled experiments, n = 5 -14 per group. * P < 0.05, *** P < 0.005 by Mann-Whitney test. C. One experiment, average of duplicate wells per mouse, per data point. For naïve mice n=1, Salmonella infected mice n = 3-4 per group.
mice was measured following oral \textit{Salmonella} infection. As expected, oral infection with \textit{Salmonella} increased the proportion of granulocytic (SSC$^{hi}$) cells within the spleen of both \textit{Ltbr}^{+/-} and \textit{Ltbr}^{-/-} mice, and at day 20 following infection both strains had elevated proportions of these cells (Figure 19A, B). At 50 days following \textit{Salmonella} infection, the percentage of granulocytes within the spleen of \textit{Ltbr}^{+/-} mice had returned to pre-infection levels. At this same time point, the proportion of these cells remained elevated in \textit{Ltbr}^{-/-} mice (Figure 19A, B).

To determine if these cells were indeed leading to suppression of in vitro cultures, granulocyte free lymphocytes were sorted from the spleens of naïve or day 50 \textit{Salmonella} infected \textit{Ltbr}^{+/-} and \textit{Ltbr}^{-/-} mice and restimulated in vitro with anti-CD3/CD28. Even in the absence of granulocytic cells, lymphocytes of \textit{Ltbr}^{-/-} mice were suppressed in their ability to produce IFN-$\gamma$ compared to \textit{Ltbr}^{+/-} littermates (Figure 19C). To further determine if granulocytes had suppressive ability, granulocytes sorted from spleens of the same mice were added back into the lymphocyte cultures at an increasing percentage. The addition of granulocytes into lymphocyte cultures enhances IFN-$\gamma$ production in both \textit{Ltbr}^{+/-} and \textit{Ltbr}^{-/-} mice. Despite an increase in IFN-$\gamma$ production following addition of granulocytes, cultures from \textit{Ltbr}^{-/-} mice still had an impairment in IFN-$\gamma$ production compared to cultures from \textit{Ltbr}^{+/-} mice (Figure 19C). These data suggest that while granulocytes do indeed accumulate in the spleens of \textit{Ltbr}^{-/-} mice following \textit{Salmonella} infection, they do not lead to the suppression of T cell responses observed \textit{in vitro}. 
Purified CD4+ T cells from Salmonella vaccinated mice have an intrinsic defect in T cell proliferation.

Because purified lymphocytes (containing predominantly T cells and B cells) derived from Ltbr⁻/⁻ mice had reduced production of IFN-γ following stimulation with anti-CD3/CD28 it was possible that T cell suppression was T cell intrinsic. To test this hypothesis, CD4⁺ T cells were sorted from the spleens of naïve Ltbr⁺/⁻ mice, or Salmonella infected Ltbr⁺/⁻ and Ltbr⁻/⁻ mice and restimulated with anti-CD3/CD28. As in the mixed splenocyte cultures T cells derived from naïve or Salmonella infected Ltbr⁺/⁻ mice proliferated robustly in response to TCR stimulation while purified CD4 T cells sorted from Salmonella infected Ltbr⁻/⁻ mice had a marked defect in proliferation (Figure 20). These data suggest that Salmonella infection leads to CD4⁺ T cell intrinsic defects in Ltbr⁻/⁻ but not Ltbr⁺/⁻ mice. In this preliminary experiment cells from naïve Ltbr⁻/⁻ mice were not included and therefore this experiment does not definitively demonstrate that the observed impairment is due to Salmonella infection.

Figure 20. Salmonella induced CD4 intrinsic proliferation defect in Ltbr⁻/⁻ mice.
Oral streptomycin was administered to littermate Ltbr⁺/⁻ and Ltbr⁻/⁻ one day prior to oral administration of attenuated Salmonella. CD4 T cells were sorted from naïve or day 50 infected spleens, stained with cell trace dye and cultured for 48 hours with plate bound anti CD3 and soluble anti CD28. Proliferation as measured by cell trace dilution in live, CD4 T cells after 48 hours in culture is shown. Each bar represents average of triplicate wells representing CD4 T cells from sorted from two spleens of pooled mice.
Anti-Salmonella CD4+ IFNγ recall responses after in vivo restimulation

To determine if the T cell defect observed following in vitro stimulation occurred in vivo, naïve or Salmonella infected Ltbr+/− and Ltbr−/− mice were injected in vivo with heat killed Salmonella, a potent stimulus of memory recall responses (Ravindran et al. 2005), and intracellular production of cytokines from T cells was measured 4 hours after restimulation. Naïve Ltbr+/− and Ltbr−/− mice restimulated in vivo with heat killed Salmonella and sacrificed 4 hours later did not produce measurable quantities of intracellular IFNγ or TNFα. Ltbr+/− mice infected 50 days earlier with oral attenuated Salmonella produced high levels of intracellular IFNγ four hours after in vivo restimulation with heat killed Salmonella indicating a robust memory recall response. Like their Ltbr+/− littermates, CD4 T cells from Salmonella experienced Ltbr−/− mice produced significant levels of intracellular IFNγ, and the percentage of IFNγ+ CD4 T cells was comparable between Ltbr+/− and Ltbr−/− mice (Figure 21 A, C). Unlike their Ltbr+/− littermates, Salmonella experienced Ltbr−/− mice also had a population of IFNγ, TNFα double positive cells CD4 T cells. These data indicated that in vivo Ltbr−/− mice retained a population of Salmonella specific CD4 T cells 50 days post infection and had an additional population of multifunctional Salmonella-specific T cells (Figure 21 A, D). This result appeared to be in direct contrast with the results obtained when splenocytes were restimulated with Salmonella antigens in vitro.

Several key differences between in vitro experiments and in vivo experiments could explain this discrepancy. While both experiments were performed with complex antigens that require APC processing, the in vitro experiments were performed with a protein rich Salmonella extract while in vivo experiments used heat killed Salmonella.
which is a more complex mixture of antigens. Secondly, while analysis of T cells responses after *in vitro* stimulation occurred after 48 hours, analysis of *in vivo*

Figure 21. anti-Salmonella CD4* IFNγ recall responses after in vivo restimulation. Oral streptomycin was administered to littermate *Ltbr*+/- and *Ltbr*-/— one day prior to oral administration of attenuated *Salmonella*. Naïve or infected mice were restimulated by i.v. injection of heat killed *Salmonella*. At 4 or 24 hours post restimulation animals were sacrificed and spleens were collected and stained for IFNγ and TNF. **A.** Representative flow plot, gated on CD4 T cells. **B.** Absolute number of CD4 T cells in the spleen. **C.** Percentage of IFNγ*+ CD4* of all CD4*. **D.** Percentage of IFNγ*+ TNFα* CD4* of all CD4*. **E.** Percentage of TNFα* CD4* of all CD4*. Representative of three pooled experiments. n = 4-6 per group.
responses occurred only 4 hours after restimulation. This could be significant if the mechanism of T cell suppression required time to take effect. If for example the suppressive mechanism reduced T cell proliferation, as observed \textit{in vitro}, but not T cell activation, no differences could be observed between the two strains of mice when the animals were sacrificed after only 4 hours.

To test this hypothesis, naïve or \textit{Salmonella} infected \textit{Ltbr}^{+/−} and \textit{Ltbr}^{−/−} mice were injected \textit{in vivo} with heat killed \textit{Salmonella} and intracellular production of cytokines from T cells was measured 24 hours after restimulation to allow time for T cell proliferation. CD4 T cells from naïve \textit{Ltbr}^{+/−} and \textit{Ltbr}^{−/−} mice restimulated \textit{in vivo} with heat killed \textit{Salmonella} and sacrificed 24 hours later did not produce measurable quantities of intracellular IFNγ but did produce TNFα (Figure 21 A, C, E). CD4 T cells from \textit{Ltbr}^{+/−} mice that had 50 days previously been infected with attenuated \textit{Salmonella} continued to produce robust IFNγ recall responses that were similar in magnitude to recall responses after 4 hours of restimulation. CD4 T cells from \textit{Salmonella} experienced \textit{Ltbr}^{+/−} mice had a reduction of IFNγ\textsuperscript{+} 48 hours after restimulation, although this reduction did not reach statistical significance. These data suggest that one possible explanation for the discrepancy between the \textit{in vivo} and \textit{in vitro} data is that the mechanism of suppression acting in \textit{Ltbr}^{−/−} mice requires additional time to be apparent \textit{in vivo}. Tracking antigen specific T cell proliferation and survival in \textit{Ltbr}^{+/−} and \textit{Ltbr}^{−/−} mice following \textit{in vivo} restimulation could potentially answer this question.
Late phase IFNγ blockade impairs survival at challenge but does not impact Salmonella gut clearance or anti-Salmonella IgG.

If indeed the reduction of IFNγ and T cell responses observed in vitro extended in vivo, it would predict that a reduction of IFNγ would have an impact on progression of disease. To test this question, C57BL/6 mice were treated with blocking anti-IFNγ antibody or isotype control starting at day 28 post attenuated Salmonella (Figure 22A). This time point was chosen because splenocyte cultures from Ltbrc−/− mice show defects in IFNγ only at later time point after infection. At day 45 post infection both sets of mice
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**Figure 22. IFNγ blockade during late phase of attenuated Salmonella infection.** Oral streptomycin was administered to in house bred C57BL6 mice one day prior to oral administration of attenuated Salmonella. 200µg Anti-IFNγ or isotype control was administered by IP injection at day 28, 35, and 45 following infection. Mice were challenged with virulent Salmonella at day 45 post attenuated Salmonella. A. Experimental schematic. B. Survival post virulent challenge. C. Fecal CFU of Salmonella. D. Anti-Salmonella IgG. Two pooled experiments. Isotype control n = 9, anti-IFNγ n = 9. Statistics by Mann Whitney Test.
were challenged with virulent *Salmonella*. Blocking of IFNγ at a late time point following attenuated *Salmonella* resulted in a minor increased mortality at virulent challenge (Figure 22B). IFNγ blockade did not alter clearance of attenuated *Salmonella* from the gut (Figure 22C) or anti-*Salmonella* IgG responses (Figure 22D). These data suggest that while the IFNγ defect observed in *Ltbr<sup>−/−</sup>* mice could explain the failure to survive challenge, other functions of the lymphotoxin pathway drive *Salmonella* gut clearance and the generation of anti-*Salmonella* IgG responses.
Chapter 5: Cellular sources of lymphotoxin in anti-Salmonella responses

Membrane LTα1β2 is the ligand for LTβR that mediates primary and secondary immune responses to Salmonella

LTβR is robustly expressed on stromal cells, epithelium, and myeloid cells (Tumanov et al. 2003). The ligands for LTβR include LIGHT and LTα1β2. To determine which LTβR ligand is required for anti-Salmonella immunity, Ltb+/− or Ltb−/− littermate mice were vaccinated with attenuated Salmonella and challenged with virulent Salmonella 45 to 50 days later. As with Ltb−/− mice, Ltb+/− mice were unable to survive

Figure 23. Lymphotoxin is required for protective immunity to Salmonella and clearance from the gut lumen.
Oral streptomycin was administered to littermate Ltb+/− and Ltb−/− one day prior to oral administration with attenuated Salmonella. Animals were orally challenged with virulent Salmonella 45 to 50 days later. A, Survival following challenge. B, CFU of attenuated Salmonella in the feces. C, Anti-Salmonella IgM. D, Anti-Salmonella IgG. Ltb+/− n = 11, Ltb−/− n = 8, two pooled experiments. * P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01 by Mann-Whitney test. For B, each dot represents individual mouse, bars represent mean ± SEM. C and D were standardized to hyper-immune serum and each dot represents mean of group, bars represent + SEM.
virulent challenge, clear attenuated *Salmonella* from gut lumen, or mount anti-
*Salmonella* IgG responses (Figure 23 A-C). These data suggest that LTα1β2 is the
ligand for LTβR required to mount anti-*Salmonella* immune responses. Since Ltb^{-/-} mice
retain a MLN (Tumanov et al. 2003), it suggests that the absence of this lymphoid
structure is not the reason for the impairment in anti-*Salmonella* immunity observed in
Ltb^{-/-} mice.

**Lymphotxin from αβ T cells is not required for anti-Salmonella responses**

Many lymphoid cells including T cells, B cells, NK cells, and ILCs express
membrane lymphotoxin both at steady state and during infection. To determine which
cell type provides the LT signal that is sensed by LTβR to provide anti *Salmonella*
immunity, we employed mice in which the floxed *Ltb* gene was excised by conditional
expression of a Cre recombinase. To determine if lymphotoxin expressed in T cells
contributed to *Salmonella* responses we used *Cd4^{Cre+/-WT} Ltb^{fl/fl}* animals. Because the
CD4 gene is upregulated during the development of all αβ T cells these mice lack

---

**Figure 24. Lymphotxin from αβ T cells is dispensable for anti-Salmonella immunity, gut clearance, and antibody production**

Oral streptomycin was administered to littermate *Ltb^{fl/fl}* or *Cd4^{Cre+/-} Ltb^{fl/fl}* animals one day prior to oral
administration with attenuated *Salmonella*. Animals were orally challenged with virulent *Salmonella* 45 to 50
days later. **A.** Fecal CFU of attenuated *Salmonella* from one representative experiment. *Ltb^{fl/fl}* n = 4,
*Cd4^{Cre+/-} Ltb^{fl/fl}* n = 9 **B.** Anti-*Salmonella* IgG C. Survival after challenge with virulent *Salmonella* 45 days
post attenuated *Salmonella*. Two pooled experiments. *Ltb^{fl/fl}* n = 9, *Cd4^{Cre+/-} Ltb^{fl/fl}* n = 11.
lymphotoxin expression on both CD4 and CD8 T cells. Expression of lymphotoxin on γδ T cells is not altered in this system. \( Cd4^{\text{Cre+WT}} Ltb^{fl/fl} \) or \( Ltb^{fl/fl} \) littermates were pretreated with streptomycin and infected orally with attenuated \textit{Salmonella}. No differences in fecal CFU or anti-\textit{Salmonella} IgG were observed in these mice (\textbf{Figure 24A, B}). When mice were challenged with virulent \textit{Salmonella} 45 days following attenuated \textit{Salmonella} no significant differences in survival were noted (\textbf{Figure 24C}). These data indicate that lymphotoxin from αβ T cells is dispensable for fecal clearance of \textit{Salmonella}, generation of anti-\textit{Salmonella} IgG, and protective immunity at challenge.

\textit{Lymphotoxin from Rorc\textsuperscript{+} ILC3s and T cells does not contribute to anti-\textit{Salmonella} antibody responses, but has a partial impact on survival after challenge.}

Lymphotoxin from ILC3s in the gut plays an important role in early control of \textit{Citrobacter rodentium} in the colon and mice that conditionally lack lymphotoxin from \textit{Rorc\textsuperscript{+}} ILC3s succumb to infection (Tumanov et al. 2011). To determine if lymphotoxin from \textit{Rorc\textsuperscript{+}} ILCs plays a role in \textit{Salmonella} infection, \( \text{Rorc}^{\text{Cre+}} Ltb^{fl/fl} \) mice and littermate \( Ltb^{fl/fl} \) were orally infected with attenuated \textit{Salmonella} after pretreatment with streptomycin. It should be noted, that because \textit{Rorc} is expressed during αβ T cell development, this cre recombinase deletes lymphotoxin expression from both αβ T cell as well as all \textit{Rorc} expressing ILC3s including LTi. Innate NK cells and γδ T cells retain lymphotoxin expression in this system. Deletion of lymphotoxin from ILC3s and αβ T cells did not impact clearance of \textit{Salmonella} from the gut (\textbf{Figure 25A}) or generation of anti-\textit{Salmonella} IgG (\textbf{Figure 25B}). \( \text{Rorc}^{\text{Cre+}} Ltb^{fl/fl} \) had a partial defect in survival following challenge with virulent \textit{Salmonella} compared to \( Ltb^{fl/fl} \) littermates. (\textbf{Figure 25C})
25C). Because Rorc<sup>Cre+</sup> Ltb<sup>fl/fl</sup> lack expression of lymphotoxin on LTi cells, have all of the structural defects of Ltb<sup>−/−</sup> mice (they retain one mesenteric lymph node, but lack all peripheral lymph nodes and Peyer’s patches) these data indicate that the absence of these structures does not impact anti-Salmonella IgG production or gut clearance. The partial impairment in survival suggests that lymphoid structures may play some, but not an absolute role, in organizing memory responses.

Lymphotoxin from B cells is essential for anti-Salmonella IgG and contributes to protective immunity to Salmonella.

B cells expressing lymphotoxin organize lymphoid structures and coordinate immune responses during viral and helminth infections (León et al. 2012; Kumar et al. 2010; Moseman et al. 2012; Suresh et al. 2002). To determine if B cell derived lymphotoxin contributes to anti-Salmonella immunity, Cd19<sup>Cre+/WT</sup> Ltb<sup>fl/fl</sup> and Ltb<sup>fl/fl</sup>
littermates were orally infected with attenuated *Salmonella* after pretreatment with streptomycin. Anti-*Salmonella* IgM and IgG were measured. Production of anti-*Salmonella* IgM was comparable between *Cd19*<sup>Cre+/WT</sup> *Lt<sub>b</sub><sup>fl/fl</sup> and *Lt<sub>b</sub><sup>fl/fl</sup> littermate mice. (Figure 26 A). While *Lt<sub>b</sub><sup>fl/fl</sup> mice generated robust anti-*Salmonella* IgG, B cell-LT deficient mice had a severe impairment in the production of anti-*Salmonella* IgG (Figure 26B). *Cd19*<sup>Cre+/WT</sup> *Lt<sub>b</sub><sup>fl/fl</sup> mice also had a minor defect in fecal clearance of *Salmonella*.

**Figure 26. Lymphotoxin from B cells is requires for anti-*Salmonella* IgG.**

Oral streptomycin was administered to littermate *Lt<sub>b</sub><sup>fl/fl</sup> and *Cd19*<sup>Cre+/</sup>*Lt<sub>b</sub><sup>fl/fl</sup> mice one day prior to oral administration with attenuated *Salmonella*. 45 days later animals were challenged orally with virulent *Salmonella*. A. Anti-*Salmonella* IgM. B. Anti-*Salmonella* IgG. C. CFU of *Salmonella* in feces. For A, B and C * P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01, *** P < 0.005 by Mann-Whitney test. D. Survival post virulent challenge. *Lt<sub>b</sub><sup>fl/fl</sup> n = 7, *Cd19*<sup>Cre+/</sup>*Lt<sub>b</sub><sup>fl/fl</sup> n = 10.

At day 35 following oral attenuated *Salmonella*, *Lt<sub>b</sub><sup>fl/fl</sup> mice had lower fecal CFU of...
Salmonella compared to littermate B cell-LT deficient mice. By day 45 after infection, 3 out of 12 Cd19\textsuperscript{Cre+/WT} Ltb\textsuperscript{ff} mice (all cage mates) had no detectable Salmonella in their feces while the remaining mice in the group had high bacterial loads (Figure 26C). When challenged with virulent Salmonella, the Cd19\textsuperscript{Cre/WT} Ltb\textsuperscript{ff} mice with high fecal Salmonella loads were more likely to succumb to infection, resulting in a partial survival defect (Figure 26D). Altogether the data suggest that B cell derived lymphotoxin plays a role in production of anti-Salmonella IgG and protective responses. The role of B cell derived lymphotoxin in Salmonella gut clearance is less clear, as the response in these animals appears bimodal with a noted cage effect. Animals that clear gut infection go on to generate protective responses to virulent Salmonella, while those that do not, are susceptible at challenge. This cage effect could be stochastic: If B cell derived lymphotoxin is one component of many that impacts gut clearance of Salmonella perhaps in the cage of mice that cleared Salmonella compensatory mechanism were stronger. Because clearance is microbiota mediated, these alterations may be passed on to cage mates.
**Discussion**

**Overall summary of findings**

Overall this work has explored the role of the LTα1β2/LTβR pathway in three aspects of immune responses to *Salmonella*: clearance of *Salmonella* from the gut, generation of anti-*Salmonella* memory and immunity, and the cellular sources of lymphotoxin that mediate these effects. On the first point, the role of lymphotoxin in clearance of *Salmonella* from the gut, this work elucidates the first host pathway involved in this process. Previously it was known that a complex microbiota is required for *Salmonella* gut clearance. It was also shown that IgA, B cells, and T cells are not independently necessary or sufficient to mediate this clearance (Endt et al. 2010). It was previously suggested that the microbiota may act independently of the host to resolve *Salmonella* gastrointestinal disease. Here we suggest that the host does indeed play a role in this process.

The second aim of this thesis was to determine the mechanisms by which the lymphotoxin pathway contributes to memory and protective immunity. Our initial findings show that in the absence of LTβR, animals succumb to virulent challenge with *Salmonella* despite previous vaccination. To explain this finding we explored immunization and challenge route, antibody responses, cytokine production, T cell responses, and potential mechanism of T cell suppression. We note significant impairments in anti-*Salmonella* antibody responses as well as potential defects in cytokine and T cell responses. Although no definitive mechanism to explain the lack of immunity in *Ltbr*−/− mice was found, defects in multiple arms of the immune response were measured, suggesting a broadly affected immune environment.
Finally, to gain a better understanding of the pathways and mechanisms involved, the cellular sources of lymphotoxin involved in *Salmonella* immunity were analyzed. Using blockade of LTβR signaling in WT mice we show that active lymphotoxin signaling contributes to anti-*Salmonella* IgG responses and bacterial clearance from the gut. Using cre/loxp transgenic targeting of the lymphotoxin beta gene, we discovered that multiple cell types expressing lymphotoxin contribute to anti-*Salmonella* immunity. Our findings suggest that lymphotoxin production by B cells is essential for anti-*Salmonella* class switched antibody responses and contributes to protective immunity. This work also demonstrates that lymphotoxin from T cells is dispensable for anti-*Salmonella* responses. Lymphotoxin from T cells and ILC3s did not have an impact on anti-*Salmonella* IgG responses, but had a partial impact on survival at challenge. Since this defect in survival was not observed in lymphotoxin T cell conditionally deficient mice, it suggests that ILC3s and B cells both contribute to anti-*Salmonella* immunity.

Altogether, the findings of this thesis suggest a previously unrecognized role for lymphotoxin in anti-*Salmonella* immunity. In previous studies using fully virulent *Salmonella*, no role for LTβR was noted (Barthel et al. 2003). By using an attenuated mutant of *Salmonella* within the streptomycin pretreatment model of diarrhea we were able to uncover previously unknown roles of this pathway.

**Lymphotoxin’s role in Salmonella gut clearance**

Through several experimental approaches we demonstrate that absence of LTβR, LTβ, or blockade of lymphotoxin signaling significantly impairs *Salmonella* clearance from the gut. In trying to identify the cellular source of lymphotoxin
responsible for this effect we were not able to definitively pinpoint a singular cellular source required for the complete phenotype. Mice in which lymphotoxin was conditionally deficient from B cells showed a bimodal response. Some animals cleared Salmonella completely while others maintained high bacterial loads. This result is puzzling in the context of previous literature that describes that B cell deficient mice can clear Salmonella (Endt et al. 2010). However, two possible models could explain our findings. The role of lymphotoxin in gut clearance of Salmonella could be positive or negative. A positive role for lymphotoxin could be in shaping the microbiota. LT dependent immunological mechanisms like antibody production, the IL22 pathway, antimicrobial peptides, and others could work in concert to help the microbiota outcompete Salmonella. In this study we did not explore in detail the effect of lymphotoxin in the gut tissue or in alterations of the microbiota. We did however develop a unique system in which to test how alterations in the microbiota occur over time in hosts that clear Salmonella versus those that do not. A potentially fruitful line of future study would be to track the changes in the microbiota (both on the population and transcriptional levels) in germ free Ltb/+ and Ltb/- mice monocolonized with Salmonella and then conventionalized with the same cecal content. Do different populations of commensals arise in Ltb/+ and Ltb/- mice? Which pathways do these commensal populations engage to compete with Salmonella? What host pathways are engaged in the gut of Ltb/+ and Ltb/- mice? These questions could potentially be very fruitful in understanding the biology of permissive hosts.

The other possibility that could explain the why Ltb/- mice cannot clear Salmonella is that the LT pathway acts to modify clearance in a negative way. In WT
mice the lymphotoxin pathway may not directly contribute to clearance, but when it is absent, it may prevent the microbiota from outcompeting *Salmonella*. For example, it is known that triggering host inflammation is an important bacterially-mediated mechanism for initiation of colonization (see introduction pg12). The role of sustained inflammation in maintenance of colonization is not yet known, but it is possible that, as in initiation of colonization, sustained inflammation of the gut allows for a permissive niche for *Salmonella*.

There is some evidence in the literature to support this idea. It has been shown that induction of gall bladder inflammation and gall stones via a high cholesterol diet predisposes mice to *Salmonella* colonization of the gall bladder (Crawford et al. 2010). Perhaps in the absence of the lymphotoxin pathway inflammation in the gut is sustained, allowing for a permissive niche. Although our study did not explore this possibility, some of our results may support this assumption. We noted high TNF levels in re-stimulated splenocyte supernatants from *Salmonella* infected *Ltbr*⁻/⁻ mice. Further we show that granulocytes accumulate and persist in the spleens of *Ltbr*⁻/⁻ and not *Ltbr*⁺/⁻ littermates. Finally, we note that inflammation of the colon and cecum is sustained in these animals. Future work could explore the inflammatory environment in the gut of *Ltbr*⁻/⁻ and *Ltbr*⁺/⁻ littermates following *Salmonella* infection in more detail. Are there increases in inflammatory cytokines and chemokines? What cells infiltrate the colons and cecums of *Ltbr*⁻/⁻ and *Ltbr*⁺/⁻ mice? Barthel et al. noted that B cell are highly enriched in the inflamed colons of *Ltbr*⁻/⁻ mice two days post infection with virulent *Salmonella* in the streptomycin model (Barthel et al. 2003).
In the 129x1/SvJ background some animals become colonized with *Salmonella* in the absence of streptomycin pretreatment and shed high levels of bacteria in the feces. This “super-shedding” phenotype is correlated with an increased number of neutrophils in the spleen, reduced Th1 responses and increased Treg numbers (Gopinath et al. 2013). The reduction of Th1 and increase of neutrophils is a result of high fecal burdens, as animals that are not super shedders can develop the phenotype via administration of streptomycin. This suggests that the observed granulocyte and T cell phenotype may be an effect of high bacterial loads in the gut, and not a cause.

The same study also noted that suppression of inflammation by administration of steroids does not induce mice to become super shedders. The inverse idea is not tested and could be a potentially interesting line of future experimentation. If indeed *Ltbr<sup>-/-</sup>* mice have increased inflammation in the gut, could suppression of inflammation (perhaps via steroids) reduce bacterial loads? Would triggering inflammation at late stages of bacterial infection in WT mice via another method (such as administration of low levels of DSS) promote sustained colonization?

Regardless of which mechanism is in play, our study has implications for individuals who are chronically infected asymptomatic excretors of *Salmonella*. While previously it was proposed that these individuals may lack the required microbiota to mediate clearance (Endt et al. 2010), our findings suggest that these individuals may also lack the necessary host pathways to manipulate this clearance or have maladaptive responses that promote colonization. The conditions that lead to asymptomatic excretion in the case of non typhoidal *Salmonella*, or long term carriage in the case of typhoid are not well understood. In mice and humans, the TNF and
lymphotxin genes are tandemly arranged between class I and III MHC regions where several SNPs have been found (Nedospasov et al. 1991; Posch et al. 2003). A potentially interesting line of study would be to determine if an association of LT polymorphisms and *Salmonella* carriage exists in human populations.

**Antibody responses to Salmonella**

While the role of B cell derived lymphotoxin in germinal center formation has been previously well described (Fu et al. 1998; Fu et al. 1997; Matsumoto et al. 1997), recently it has been shown that somatically hypermutated antibody responses to *Salmonella* occur extrafollicularly (Cunningham et al. 2007; Di Niro et al. 2015). Di Niro and colleagues demonstrate that this extrafollicular antibody response is indeed specific and depends on hypermutation. Restricting BCR availability and reversion of acquired mutations both impair the anti-*Salmonella* response (Di Niro et al. 2015). When GC-like structures do form at about one month following infection they are small and immature, expressing low levels of PNA positive cells and are found at atypical sites between the T and B cells zones. Class switching and affinity maturation occur at the same rate in extrafollicular locations and these germinal center like structures (Di Niro et al. 2015).

A limitation of our study is that our observations of B cells are restricted to serum antibody responses. We did not show that the absence of the lymphotoxin pathway impairs extrafollicular antibody responses at the cellular level. However, in the time frame of our experiment, fully formed germinal centers are not expected. One possible avenue for further exploration is to determine the B cell phenotypes following infection in *Ltbr*<sup>+/−</sup> and *Ltbr*<sup>−/−</sup> mice. The number of plasmablasts (TCR<sup>−</sup> F4/80<sup>−</sup> CD44<sup>+</sup>CD138<sup>+</sup>) versus germinal center (B220<sup>+</sup>, CD38<sup>low</sup>, CD95<sup>+</sup>, PNA<sup>+</sup>) B cells could be
determined. I would predict that the number of plasmablasts would not change in \( Ltbr^{/-} \) mice as these mice produce robust IgM responses. Since we observe a defect in class switching it may be interesting to measure AID expression in B cells from \( Ltbr^{/-} \) mice. Interestingly, generation of anti-\( \text{Salmonella} \) class switched antibody was also reduced in CD40L deficient mice, while the IgM response is retained. The CD40L/CD40 signaling cascade is similar to LT/LT\( \beta \)R, and these two pathways can work in concert. Engagement of CD40 on B cells upregulates LT\( \alpha \)β expression on these cells to promote FDC maturation (Vu et al. 2008). This response has been characterized for GC responses. Similar mechanisms could be in play for extrafollicular class switching and hypermutation.

CD11c\textsuperscript{high} high cells have been implicated in extrafollicular responses and are necessary for plasmablast survival and differentiation into plasma cells (De Vinuesa et al. 1999). Recently, LT\( \beta \)R expression on CD11c\textsuperscript{+} DCs has been found to be essential in the survival of fibroblastic reticular cells (FRCs), and an absence of this pathway results in a significant impairment in both GC B cells and antibody forming cells following immunization (Kumar et al. 2015). One possibility is that during extrafollicular antibody formation engagement of CD40 on B cells, via CD40L from T cells, increases cell surface expression of B cell lymphotxin. Then, lymphotxin expressing B cells interact with LT\( \beta \)R\textsuperscript{+} DCs. These DCs then in turn maintain the FRC network that supports the extrafollicular foci required for class switched IgG responses. One possible way to examine this hypothesis is to utilize available conditionally deficient \( Ltbr^{fl/fl} \) mice crossed to \( Cd11c^{Cre} \). If this hypothesis is true, it would predict that \( Cd11c^{Cre} Ltbr^{fl/fl} \) mice will have an impairment in generation of anti-\( \text{Salmonella} \) IgG. Kumar et al. also found that
addition of BAFF and IL-7 rescues FRC survival and increases the number of AFCs following immunization with OVA-alum. Most importantly, addition of agonist anti-LTβR has a similar effect (Kumar et al. 2015). Our study shows that transient blockade of LTβR reduced anti-Salmonella IgG. It is possible that addition of agonist anti-LTβR, IL-7, or BAFF to Ltb−/− could rescue anti-Salmonella IgG.

Generation of class switched affinity matured antibody in the absence of germinal centers has been described in LT deficient stains when immunized with high dose of antigen, adjuvanted antigen, or with repeated antigen dosage (Matsumoto et al. 1996; Wang et al. 2000)(Table 1). How does the extrafollicular response in Salmonella differ from extrafollicular class switching observed to model antigens in lymphotoxin deficient strains? Based on the previous literature with model antigens, Salmonella infection meets all of the theoretical requirements to induce some level of high affinity IgG: antigen is persistent and long lasting and provides “adjuvanted” signal one and two. However, our data indicate that despite antigen persistence and no strict requirement for GCs, anti-Salmonella antibody responses require LTα1β2 production by B cells.

One possible explanation is that Salmonella actively suppresses GC formation and that LT-mediated extrafollicular responses are the only remaining avenue for the generation of somatically hypermutated, high affinity antibody in WT mice. There is evidence to support the claim the Salmonella actively suppresses GC formation; the appearance of IgG2c correlates with clearance of attenuated Salmonella from the spleen and treatment of infected mice with antibiotics reduced the burden of bacteria in the spleen and enhances GC-like formation (Cunningham et al. 2007). An interesting line of experimentation would be to determine what, if any, bacterial virulence factors
are involved. The previous two publications as well as our study, use the aroA mutant
(Cunningham et al. 2007; Di Niro et al. 2015). While this strain is attenuated it retains
both the Spi1 and Spi2 Type 3 secretion systems, machinery with which Salmonella
injects a wide variety of effector molecules to alter host responses. The requirement of
these secretion systems in anti-Salmonella GC formation is worthy of further
exploration. Another fruitful line of study would be to determine if a reduction in
Salmonella load in lymphotoxin deficient strains rescues IgG production.

Protective Immunity to Salmonella

A live attenuated Salmonella formulation is one of two current FDA approved
vaccines for typhoid. Like the parenteral vaccine, the oral formulation has relatively low
efficacy rates despite raising both humoral and cellular anti-Salmonella responses in
vaccinated individuals (Date et al. 2015). Furthermore, this formulation’s side effects
include cramping and mild diarrhea and it is not recommended to children under 2 years
of age. Therefore, understanding the restrictions and limitations of live attenuated
vaccines is an important step in improving efficacy. In this study we demonstrated that
in the absence of LTβR or LTβ animals do not acquire protective immunity to
Salmonella following vaccination with an attenuated strain and under circumstances of
reduced colonization resistance, become persistently infected. We also show that B cell
derived lymphotoxin plays a significant role in the generation of this protection.

Many potential causes for impaired immunity were explored in this study. First,
because Ltbz1 mice retained high and persistent levels of Salmonella in the gut, and
persistent infections are known to cause exhaustion and tolerance (Monack, Mueller,
and Falkow 2004; Zuniga et al. 2015), we determined if gut persistence was a cause of
poor immunity. We were able to utilize the attenuation of our vaccine strain to our advantage. Because this strain has impaired intracellular replication it cannot freely proliferate outside of the gut lumen. By administering the vaccine strain i.p., we prevent persistent infection, as no bacteria were detected in host feces. We found that the role of lymphotoxin in protective immunity is independent of *Salmonella* persistence; while *Ltbr*<sup>+</sup> mice were fully protected by i.p. vaccination, *Ltbr*<sup>−/−</sup> mice all died when challenged with *Salmonella* (also via the i.p. route).

Impaired anti-*Salmonella* IgG responses were noted in this study. Although antibody is not strictly required to mediate protection and gut clearance it contributes to limiting infection. Mice that retain B cells but cannot secrete antibody have a minor (20%) impairment in survival to virulent *Salmonella* after vaccination with attenuated *Salmonella*. Animals that can produce only IgM do not have any vaccination and challenge defects (Nanton et al. 2012). However, it should be noted that in these experiments both attenuated and virulent *Salmonella* was administered intravenously. These studies do not take into account the role of antibody at mucosal surface. Antibody, especially IgA, limits infection at the mucosa (Endt et al. 2010). Therefore at least a portion of the protective role of lymphotoxin could be though antibody production. One way to determine how much of a role antibody plays in protection would be to supplement *Ltbr*<sup>−/−</sup> or *Ltb*<sup>−/−</sup> mice with serum transferred form previously immunized WT or lymphotoxin deficient mice.

Antibody independent functions of B cells have also been shown to be essential to anti-*Salmonella* protective immunity. Mirroring our results with *Cd19<sup>cre+</sup>* *Ltb<sup>fl/fl</sup>* mice, B cell deficient animals, but not antibody deficient animals, succumb to virulent challenge
with *Salmonella* despite previous vaccination with attenuated *Salmonella* (Nanton et al. 2012) and the role of B cells as APCs has been shown to play a role in the generation of anti-*Salmonella* CD4 T cell responses (Barr et al. 2010).

Lymphotoxin is known to alter B cell biology and function to impact T cell responses (León et al. 2012). LT is expressed on B cells and transmits signal through LTβR on non-lymphoid cells. Therefore, the impact of LT on B cells cannot be by direct contact with T cells (which also express LT but not LTβR). This suggests that the impact of B cell LT on CD4 immunity acts via third party LTβR⁺ cells. In chronic helminth infection, LT from B cells is essential for the expression of CXCL13 by stromal cells and the recruitment of T cells into the B cells zone (León et al. 2012). In the absence of this pathway, Th2 responses are impaired (León et al. 2012). Although this mechanism did not appear to contribute to anti-*influenza* Th1 responses, it is unknown if a similar mechanism is in play during *Salmonella* infection.

B cells’ role as antigen presenting cells has been demonstrated as a key driver in optimal Th1 memory formation to *Salmonella* (Barr et al. 2010). One possible explanation for the results observed in our study is that LT on B cells is required to orchestrate the special relationships of T cells and B cells within lymphoid tissue. If T cells and B cells cannot colocalize, B cells cannot act as APC. As discussed previously B cell derived LT promotes the survival of the FCR network, a scaffold upon which cells interact. This is another possible mechanism through which B cells could promote T cell responses.

Our study also explored the impact of *Ltbr* deficiency on cytokine and T cell responses to *Salmonella*. Lacking a TCR transgenic cell system, this study is limited to
observations in the polyclonal T cell response. We noted that long lasting *Salmonella* infection leads to an impairment in splenocyte production of IFNγ in response to *in vitro* restimulation with *Salmonella* antigen, and an enhancement in TNFα production. Blocking IFNγ in the late phase of infection with attenuated *Salmonella* did not impact IgG production of fecal clearance but did reduce survival to virulent challenge, even when blocking of this cytokine did not continue during the challenge phase. This finding is consistent with the essential role of IFNγ in *Salmonella* protective responses (Nauciel and Espinasse-Maes 1992; Bao et al. 2000).

When we sought to determine if this altered cytokine profile could be attributed to T cells, we generated intriguing results. *In vivo* restimulation with heat killed *Salmonella* in *Ltbr*−/− mice generated robust IFNγ CD4 T cell responses. These results appeared in direct contradiction with *in vitro* findings in which T cells from *Ltbr*−/− mice had impaired proliferation or in which splenocytes from these mice had impaired IFNγ production. However, when analysis was performed later following *in vivo* stimulation (at 24 hours instead of 4 hours post stimulation) *Ltbr*−/− mice no longer had robust IFNγ T cell responses, although differences between *Ltbr*−/− and *Ltbr*+/− mice did not reach statistical significance. It was difficult to resolve these findings as many technical and biological explanations of these data are possible. The source of antigen restimulation (heat killed *Salmonella* versus *Salmonella* protein extract) could be one reason for the disparate results. Heat killed *Salmonella* does not have the same impact on T cell responses as live *Salmonella*. Live, but not heat killed *Salmonella* can reduce *in vivo* antigen specific T cell numbers by inducing apoptosis in a Spi-2 dependent mechanism (Srinivasan et al. 2009). Could persistent infection or lack of lymphoid organization enhance
Salmonella-virulent factor driven T cell killing in Ltbr<sup>−/−</sup> mice? The effect of Salmonella on T cell culling was reported to be most pronounced in antigen specific T cell populations (Srinivasan et al. 2009). Therefore, a useful tool for understanding the impact of lymphotoxin on T cell biology would be the SM1 TCR transgenic cells, specific for Salmonella flagellin (Ravindran and McSorley 2005). SM1 T cells could be transferred into Ltbr<sup>−/−</sup> mice prior to infection with attenuated Salmonella and the number and phenotype of these cells could be tracked.

A further potential interesting, and as of yet unexplored biological mechanism, could be the impact of LTβR on T cell effector, central memory vs effector memory fate decisions. As with B cells, T cell themselves do not express the signal transducing LTβR, but instead express lymphotoxin. Therefore, any impact of LTβR signal transduction on T cells occur through a third party LTβR<sup>+</sup> cell. Signaling of LTβR on DCs can upregulate expression of stimulatory molecules on DCs cells such as OX40L, 4-1BBL, and CD70 (Croft 2014). These stimulatory molecules can in turn contribute to T cell fate decision. For examples, mice lacking OX40 have a selective impairment in CD4 effector memory generation (Soroosh et al. 2007). Another important determinant in the generation of T cell memory responses is removal of antigenic stimulation, as contraction of effector cells does not occur until antigenic load is reduced (Gasper, Tejera, and Suresh 2014). Shorter duration of antigen exposure preferentially leads to generation of central memory while increased duration leads to effector memory responses (Moulton et al. 2006). Indeed the appearance of CD62L<sup>−</sup>CD44<sup>+</sup> effector cells coincides with clearance of Salmonella from systemic tissues (Johanns et al. 2010). Tracing of memory versus effector lineages in Salmonella infected lymphotoxin deficient
mice could provide evidence of an impact of this pathway on protective responses. Either in a polyclonal setting or using SM1 T cell transgenic system (Ravindran and McSorley 2005) the number of central memory (CD44^+CD62L^+CD25^−CCR7^+), activated effector (CD44^+CD62L^−CCR7^+CD25^+CD69^+) versus effector memory (CD44^+CD62L^−CD25^−CCR7^−) subpopulations in Ltbr^+/− versus Ltbr^−/− mice could be tracked.

The role of LTβR in organizing lymphoid tissue architecture and the impact of this organization on the phenotype and functions of T cells could also be addressed. LT’s role in lymphoid tissue architecture has been shown to impact CD4 and CD8 T cell IFNγ effector function in LCMV and Leishmania infections (Suresh et al. 2002; Xu et al. 2007; Wilhelm et al. 2002). In these studies when T cells or bone marrow from lymphotoxin deficient mice were transferred to lymphotoxin sufficient hosts, T cell IFNγ effector function were rescued (Suresh et al. 2002; Xu et al. 2007; Wilhelm et al. 2002). Similarly, T cells derived from Salmonella infected Ltbr^−/− mice could be transferred into WT hosts and their response to Salmonella infection could be reassessed in an LTβR sufficient setting. This approach could assess the importance of lymphoid tissue architecture on anti-Salmonella T cell responses.

Our study also generated preliminary data exploring potential mechanisms of tolerance and suppression. We noted an in vitro reduction in the ability of CD4 and CD8 T cells to proliferate in response to Salmonella antigen as well as αCD3/CD28 stimulation. This suggested a broad mechanism of T cell suppression. Our initial observation was made in mixed splenocyte cultures and therefore many potential mechanisms of suppression could explain our finding. The first potential mechanism explored was a well-known role for suppressive granulocytic cells during Salmonella
infection (Tam et al. 2014). Following infection these immature monocytes accumulate in the spleen, and in \textit{in vitro} cultures are capable of suppressing both antigen specific and \(\alpha\)CD3/CD28 driven CD4 and CD8 T cell proliferation (Tam et al. 2014). Indeed, we noted an accumulation of granulocytic cells in \(LTbr^{-/-}\) mice, but when these cells were sorted and added back to lymphocyte cultures they did not impact T cell responses. It is possible that we did not sort the correct population of cells, or that better results would be obtained if granulocytes were added to purified T cells. It is also possible that although we did not see an impact of these cells \textit{in vitro}, they mediate \textit{in vivo} effects.

Our final preliminary experiment to address potential T cell suppression in \(LTbr^{-/-}\) mice noted that purified T cells sorted from spleens of \textit{Salmonella} infected mice had a significant impairment in \(\alpha\)CD3/CD28 driven proliferation. Proliferation of CD4 cells from naïve \(LTbr^{-/-}\) mice was not measured so it is not known if this experiment represents a \textit{Salmonella} driven phenotype. If, however, this assumption is true, it suggests that the observed T cell suppression is CD4 T cell intrinsic. One intriguing possibility is that T regulatory (Treg) cells accumulate in \(LTbr^{-/-}\) mice or acquire a more suppressive phenotype. Treg numbers mirror increases in total CD4 expansion following \textit{Salmonella} infection so that the percentage of these cells relative to Foxp3\textsuperscript{-} CD4s does not change. However Tregs of persistently infected mice (day 37) are more suppressive, inhibiting \(\alpha\)CD3/CD28 driven proliferation at lower Treg to target ratios than those of naïve animals (Johanns et al. 2010). Deletion of Tregs at early stages following \textit{Salmonella} infection (D5) led to a reduction in bacterial splenic CFU and an enhancement of activated effector cells, but deletion of Tregs from persistently infected (D37) mice did not impact CD4 numbers or \textit{Salmonella} CFU (Johanns et al. 2010). This suggests that
the impact of Tregs has a more significant role early in the T cell response. It is
unknown however, if this is also true in a lymphotoxin deficient setting. It is possible that
the increased persistence of *Salmonella* in *Ltbr*−/− mice could impact Treg numbers and
function. This is indeed a possibility as super-shedder mice on the SvJ background
have higher levels of Tregs than gut uncolonized mice (Gopinath et al. 2013). The
number and suppressive capabilities of Tregs in *Salmonella* infected *Ltbr*−/− mice could
be analyzed and their suppressive capacity in vitro addressed.

**Concluding remarks**

Overall, the work presented in this thesis opens many new avenues to explore
immune responses to *Salmonella* and other persistent infections as well as the role of
the lymphotoxin pathway in infectious disease and vaccination. Although our study may
ask more questions than it answers, it also presents viable models and experimental
approaches to understanding previously unexplored host pathogen interactions. First, it
demonstrates that host immunity plays a vital role in microbiota clearance of *Salmonella*
from the gut. Second, it suggests that the lymphotoxin pathway, especially from B cells,
could be an integral player in the relatively unexplored field of extrafollicular antibody
responses. Finally, we highlight the importance of the lymphotoxin pathway in mediating
protective immune responses to oral vaccination with live attenuated bacteria.
Development of such vaccines is ongoing, and understanding the host requirements for
appropriate responses is essential to ensure both vaccine safety and efficacy.
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